



Evaluation of Fungal Contamination in Whole and Sliced Ready-To-Eat Fruits Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria

**Doneh Linda Sornka ^{a*}, Amala Smart Enoch ^b,
Obioma Azuonwu ^b and Ollor Amba Ollor ^b**

^a *Department of Medical Microbiology, Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Nigeria.*

^b *Department of Medical Microbiology, Faculty of Medical Laboratory Science, Rivers State University, Nigeria.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127149>

Original Research Article

Received: 16/09/2024

Accepted: 20/11/2024

Published: 25/11/2024

ABSTRACT

Foodborne illnesses remain a pressing public health concern globally, especially in regions with inadequate regulatory oversight. This study aimed to assess the extent of fungal contamination in whole and sliced ready-to-eat (RTE) fruits sold in various markets across Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The methodology involved a simple random sampling of 30 fruit samples, both whole and sliced apples, cucumbers, oranges, pawpaws, and watermelons. These samples were collected from six major markets: Fruit Garden Market, Mile 1 Market, Mile 3 Market, Oil Mill Market, Rumuokoro Market, and Town Market. Microbiological analyses were conducted using serial dilution, culturing, and isolation methods. Total Fungal Count (TFC) was determined on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar and statistical evaluation via ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc tests. Results showed significant variability in

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lindadoneh@gmail.com;

Cite as: Sornka, Doneh Linda, Amala Smart Enoch, Obioma Azuonwu, and Ollor Amba Ollor. 2024. "Evaluation of Fungal Contamination in Whole and Sliced Ready-To-Eat Fruits Sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria". *Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition* 3 (4):1173-81. <https://www.journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/article/view/207>.

contamination levels across different markets. The highest TFC in whole fruits was recorded in the Oil Mill Market (4.58×10^2 CFU/ml), while Rumuokoro and Mile 1 had no detected fungal contamination. Among sliced fruits, the highest TFC was in the Oil Mill Market (6.60×10^2 CFU/ml), with Rumuokoro showing the lowest (1.53×10^2 CFU/ml). Pawpaw and watermelon had the highest TFC among all tested fruit types, indicating greater susceptibility to fungal growth due to their nutrient composition and water content. The study concluded that RTE fruits sold in Port Harcourt are susceptible to significant fungal contamination, posing health risks to consumers. It highlighted the need for better hygiene practices among vendors and stricter regulatory measures to ensure food safety. Recommendations include proper pre- and post-harvest handling practices, the use of potable water for washing fruits, and food safety training for vendors to mitigate contamination risks.

Keywords: Fungal contamination; ready-to-eat fruits; Port Harcourt; food safety; microbial analysis; fruit markets.

1. INTRODUCTION

Food is vital for satisfying hunger and meeting the biological requirements of living organisms. It also plays an integral part in Maslow's hierarchy of needs [1]. Moon highlighted that food consumption fulfills these biological necessities [2,3]. Ensuring food safety means ensuring that food does not harm consumers when it is correctly prepared and consumed [4]. This includes preserving the physical, chemical, and biological integrity of food to maintain its nutritional value [4]. Monitoring food safety requires constant attention from the point of purchase through to consumption [5,6], and one of the major objectives of Healthy People 2020 is to reduce foodborne infections caused by key pathogens [7]. Food handlers are essential to maintaining food safety, as poor handling practices can lead to foodborne illnesses [8].

According to the WHO, 600 million people worldwide become ill each year due to contaminated food, resulting in 420,000 deaths. In the U.S. alone, foodborne diseases affect 48 million individuals annually, leading to 128,000 hospitalizations and 3,000 deaths [9]. The highest rates of foodborne illness and fatalities occur in Africa and Southeast Asia [8]. This ongoing issue is largely driven by unhygienic food handling and inadequate preservation techniques, which result in contamination [10]. Moreover, food handlers can contribute to the spread of foodborne diseases through cross-contamination [11].

Implementing proper food safety practices, particularly in terms of hygiene, is critical for reducing the frequency, severity, and fatalities associated with foodborne illnesses [12].

Outbreaks of foodborne pandemics are often due to a lack of knowledge or neglect of hygiene and safety regulations [13,14]. Marginalized groups, especially those working in food retail or service industries, face a greater risk of exposure to foodborne pathogens Quinlan and Jennifer, [15] with diarrheal diseases being the most prevalent consequence of food contamination [16,17].

Ready-to-eat fruits (RTEFs) like oranges, apples, and bananas have gained popularity for their nutritional benefits and convenience. However, they are susceptible to microbial contamination during harvesting, postharvest processes, and handling [18]. Reports indicate a rise in foodborne illness linked to fresh fruits Pradhan et al., [19], with bacteria such as *Listeria monocytogenes* identified as potential sources of serious infections, particularly in vulnerable groups [20,21].

Due to the nature of fruits, they are especially prone to microbial contamination, and improper handling only increases the risk of spoilage [22]. Bacterial pathogens like *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* are frequently linked to contaminated fruits [23]. Furthermore, contamination may be exacerbated by the use of untreated wastewater and organic manure in fruit cultivation in some areas [9]. The growing consumption of contaminated RTEFs in Nigeria has led to outbreaks of foodborne diseases, emphasizing the need for enhanced assessment and stricter food safety protocols [19,24]. Consequently, this study focused on assessing microbial contamination and identifying bacterial strains isolated from whole and sliced ready-to-eat fruits in Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

2. METHODOLOGY

2.1 Study Area

The study area of this research was in Port Harcourt, the capital and largest city in Rivers State, Nigeria.

2.2 Study Design

The study employed a simple random sampling technique. A total of 30 fruit samples, including both whole (3) and sliced (3) samples of five different types of fruits including apple, cucumber, orange, pawpaw, and watermelon were collected. These samples were purchased from six different markets: Fruit Garden Market (FGM), Mile 1 Market (M1M), Mile 3 Market (M3M), Oil Mill Market (OMM), Rumuokoro Market (RM), and Town Market (TM).

2.3 Sample Collection

All samples of whole and sliced fresh fruits were collected from six different markets in Port Harcourt using sterile polythene bags and transported in an insulated ice box to maintain temperatures between 4°C and 6°C. The samples were immediately taken to the Microbiology Laboratory Unit of Rivers State University Teaching Hospital, Port Harcourt, and analyzed within one hour of arrival. All necessary materials for analysis, including media and glassware, were sterilized and prepared before sample collection. The samples were collected from both wholesale and retail vendors between February 2022 and July 2022.

2.4 Preparation of Sample

The method by Kaur and Rai [25] was followed. Twenty grams (20 g) of each fruit sample were weighed and transferred aseptically into sterile beakers containing 200 ml of sterile distilled water. Sliced fruits were homogenized using an electric blender, while whole fruits were rinsed, and the wash water was used as the stock for culturing. Ten-fold serial dilutions of both whole and sliced fruit stock samples were prepared using sterilized peptone water. Four test tubes, each containing 9 ml of sterilized peptone water, were used for the dilutions. The peptone water was sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes. After cooling, 1 ml of stock was added to the first test tube to create a 10-fold dilution, and the process was repeated for the remaining tubes. Contamination was prevented by

swabbing the workbench with 70% alcohol, working near a Bunsen flame, and using sterile materials.

2.5 Enumeration and Isolation of Microorganisms

After serial dilution, 1 ml from each of the four dilutions was aseptically dispensed into labeled Petri dishes using the spread plate method with a bent glass rod, in duplicates. Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) plates for Total Fungal Count (TFC) were incubated for seven (7) days at 25°C. Colony morphology, including colour, shape, and size, was examined microscopically. All experiments were conducted in duplicate to ensure reproducibility.

2.6 Purification of Isolates

When in use, each of the test isolates was first purified by sub-culturing on freshly prepared Sabouraud Dextrose Agar using the streak method and then incubated for 2 days (48 hours) for fungal isolates, which were identified based on standard cultural, microscopic and morphological characteristics.

2.7 Statistical Analysis

The data was analyzed statistically using SPSS software (version 23.0). Descriptive statistics, including mean and standard error, were calculated for Total Fungal Count (TFC). A one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed to determine differences at a 0.05 significance level, followed by Tukey's post hoc test to assess significance between groups.

3. RESULTS

Table 1a showed that the Total Fungal Count (TFC) ranged between zero CFU/ml in Rumuokoro and Mile 1 to 4.58×10^2 CFU/ml in the Oil mill market. The Total fungal Count (TFC) showed that the fungal present in selected whole fresh fruits was highest in the Oil mill followed by Mile 3, Fruit Garden while Rumuokoro and Mile 1 had no fungal contamination.

The results from Table 1b indicate a significant mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected whole fresh fruit samples ($F_{5,84} = 29.297$; $P < .05$). Therefore, there is a significant mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) amongst selected whole fresh fruits based on the market type.

Table 1a. Mean Fungal Count (TFC) and CFU/ml of selected whole fresh fruits based on market type at 10¹ CFU/ml

Selected Markets	N	Mean	SD	CFU/ml
Rumuokoro	15	.00	.000	0
Mile 1	15	.00	.000	0
Fruit Garden	15	28.93	6.777	2.89 x 10 ²
Oil Mill	15	45.80	3.373	4.58 x 10 ²
Mile 3	15	34.87	1.774	3.49 x 10 ²
Town	15	15.30	3.556	1.53 x 10 ²
Total	90			

N = Number of samples examined

Table 1b. ANOVA Test showing the mean difference of Total Fungal Count (TFC) of whole fruits among market types

Sources of Variation	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig. (p value)	Decision
Between Groups	53536.517	5	10707.303	29.297	.000	Significant, P < 0.05.
Within Groups	63592.433	84	365.474			
Total	117128.950	89				

Key: Df = Degree of Freedom, F = F value, P = P value, Sig. = Significance, Between groups = Different fruit sample from the markets, Within groups = The same fruit samples from the markets

Table 2a shows that the Total Fungi Count (TFC) ranged between 1.53 x 10² CFU/ml in Rumuokoro to 6.60 x10² CFU/ml in the Oil mill market. The Total fungal Count shows that the incidence of the fungal present in selected sliced fresh fruits was highest in the Oil mill market followed by Mile 1 market, Mile 3 market, Fruit Garden market and Town market while Rumuokoro had the least fungal contamination.

The results from Table 2b indicate a significant mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected sliced fruit samples ($F_{5, 84} = 19.04$; $P < .05$). Therefore, there was a significant mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) amongst selected sliced fresh fruits based on the market type.

Table 3a shows the Total Fungal Count (TFC) which ranged between 1.11 x 10² CFU/ml in apple fruit and 2.64 x 10² CFU/ml in pawpaw fruit. The Total Fungal Count (TFC) shows that the incidence of fungal present in whole fresh fruit is highest in pawpaw followed by watermelon, orange and cucumber while, apple had the lowest level of fungal contamination.

The results from Table 4.b using the ANOVA indicate that the mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected whole fruit sample is not significant ($F_{4, 175} = 1.86$; $P > .05$). This implied that the mean level of fungal contamination among the fruits are closely distributed and do not have marked differences.

Table 2a. Mean Total fungal Count and CFU/ml of selected sliced fresh fruits based on Market type at 10¹ CFU/ml

Selected Markets	N	Mean	SD	CFU/ml
Rumuokoro	15	15.33	5.842	1.53 x 10 ²
Mile 1	15	57.93	5.875	5.79 x 10 ²
Fruit Garden	15	29.20	4.865	2.92 x 10 ²
Oil Mill	15	66.07	2.860	6.60 x 10 ²
Mile 3	15	36.47	3.872	3.65 x 10 ²
Town	15	22.33	3.479	2.23 x 10 ²
Total	90			

N = Number of samples examined

Table 2b. ANOVA Test showing the mean difference of Total Fungal Count (TFC) of sliced fruits among market types

Sources of Variation	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig. (p value)	Decision
Between Groups	60720.44	5	12144.089	19.038	.000	Significant, P < 0.05.
Within Groups	110993.33	84	637.893			
Total	171713.77	89				

Key: Df = Degree of freedom, F = F value, P = P value, Sig. = Significance, Between groups = Different fruit sample from the markets, Within groups = The same fruit samples from the markets

Table 3a. Mean Fungal Count (FC) and CFU/ml of selected whole fresh fruits

Selected Fruits	N	Mean	SD	CFU/ml
Watermelon	36	22.78	4.068	2.27 x 10 ²
Orange	36	22.58	4.203	2.25x 10 ²
Apple	36	11.11	2.716	1.11x 10 ²
Cucumber	36	21.17	4.977	2.11x 10 ²
Pawpaw	36	26.44	4.775	2.64x 10 ²
Total	180			

Number of samples examined

Table 3b. ANOVA Test showing the mean difference of Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected whole fruit samples

Sources of Variation	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig. (P value)	Decision
Between Groups	4786.53	4	1196.633	1.864	.119	Not Significant, P > 0.05.
Within Groups	112342.41	175	641.957			
Total	117128.95	179				

Key: Df = Degree of freedom, F = F value, P = P value, Sig. = Significance, Between groups = Different fruit sample from the markets, Within groups = The same fruit samples from the markets

Table 4a showed the Total Fungal Count (TFC) which ranged between 1.86 x 10²CFU/ml in Cucumber fruit and 5.72 x 10² CFU/ml in Pawpaw. The Total Fungal Count shows that the incidence of fungal present in sliced fresh fruit is highest in Pawpaw followed by Watermelon and Orange, while Cucumber and Apple had the lowest level of fungal contamination.

The results from Table 4b using the ANOVA indicate that the mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected sliced fruit samples is significant ($F_{4, 175} = 14.58$; $P < .05$). As a result of this, the Tukey test was also used for

ranking the mean and for measuring the pairwise difference among selected fruit sample.

The Tukey post hoc test in Table 4c revealed that the level of fungal contamination was significantly higher in pawpaw (5.72±3.32) and watermelon (5.43 ± 5.17) when compared to cucumbers (1.86±4.65), orange (3.41±4.38) and apple (2.50 ±4.85^a). However, there was no statistical difference in the level of fungal contamination between watermelon and pawpaw, as well as amongst cucumbers, oranges and apples.

Table 4a. Mean Fungal Count and CFU/mL of selected sliced fresh fruits

Selected Fruits	N	Mean	SD	CFU/ml
Watermelon	36	54.33	5.174	5.43 x 10 ²
Orange	36	34.17	4.381	3.41x 10 ²
Apple	36	25.06	4.855	2.50x 10 ²
Cucumber	36	18.67	4.652	1.86x 10 ²
Pawpaw	36	57.22	3.323	5.72x 10 ²
Total	180			

N = Number of samples examined

Table 4b. ANOVA Test showing the mean difference of Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected sliced fruit sample

Sources of Variation	Sum of Squares	Df	Mean Square	F	Sig. (P value)	Decision
Between Groups	42920.66	4	10730.16	14.58	.000	Significant, P < 0.05.
Within Groups	128793.11	175	735.96			
Total	171713.78	179				

Key: Df = Degree of freedom, F = F value, P = P value, Sig. = Significance, Between groups = Different fruit sample from the markets, Within groups = The same fruit samples from the markets

Table 4c. Tukey Test for ranking of Total Fungal Count (TFC) (Mean ± SE) of Selected sliced fruits at 10² CFU/ml

Fruit Sample	(Mean ± SD)
Cucumber	1.86 ±4.65 ^a
Apple	2.50 ±4.85 ^a
Orange	3.41 ±4.38 ^a
Pawpaw	5.72 ±3.32 ^b
Watermelon	5.43 ±5.17 ^b

Each value is the mean of 2 replicates from three samples of each fruit type. Means of fruit sample in each column followed by the same letter (at least one identical letter) are not significantly different (P>0.05) by Tukey's test while, fruit type having mean with different letters (no identical letter) are statistically Significant (P<0.05)

4. DISCUSSION

This study aimed to evaluate fungal contamination in whole and sliced ready-to-eat fruits sold in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. The result obtained from the Total Fungi Count (TFC) ranged between 1.53 x 10² CFU/ml in the Town and 4.58 x10² CFU/ml in the Oil mill market. The Total fungal Count (TFC) showed that the incidence of fungal presence in selected whole fresh fruits was highest in the Oil mill market followed by the Mile 3 market, Fruit Garden market and Town market while the Rumuokoro market and Mile 1 market had no fungal contamination.

The highest fungal contamination in the Oil Mill market could be a result of the fact that the location of the market was not close to any water body and the place is relatively dry and free from moisture. The spores of fungi are transmitted through air from one place to another which germinates on the fruits and food materials when they fall on them. The relatively dry nature of the market enhances the free transmission of the spore from place to place.

The Town market which was closer to a water body (rivers) had a relatively low level of fungal contamination. Mile 1 market was closer to Abonnema Wharf River and Rumuokoro market was close to Ntawogba Creek had no fungal occurrence as a result of the evaporation of

water from the water bodies. The evaporation of water from the water bodies makes the air dense and does not allow the free movement of the airborne fungal spore to be transmitted from place to place.

However, the high microbial count on whole fresh fruits in these markets may also be attributed to the unhygienic practices right from the farm to the market and exposure to potential microbial contaminations at every step including cultivation, harvesting, transporting, packaging, storage and selling to the final consumer [26,27].

The result of the Total Fungal Count (TFC) for whole fresh fruits ranged between 1.11 x 10² in apple fruit and 2.64 x 10² CFU/ml in pawpaw fruits. The Total Fungal Counts (TFC) showed that the incidence of fungal present in whole fresh fruit was highest in pawpaw followed by watermelon, orange and cucumber while apple had the lowest level of fungal contamination. In this study, the level of fungal contamination was highest in pawpaw among all the fruits samples analysed.

The study is in line with the study of Adebayo-Tayo and Okonko [28] in Nigeria which reported a lower mean cfu/ml (10²) of fungal count in all samples analyzed. However, the study was not in line with the study of Adebayo-Tayo and Okonko [28] in Nigeria which reported a lower mean

fungal count of $1.7 \times 10^2 - 3.9 \times 10^3$ CFU/ml in cucumber and watermelon juice [29].

The reason for the high mean Total Fungal Count (TFC) in pawpaw could be attributed to the fact that pawpaw provides a better nutrition and growth environment for fungal growth than the other fruit samples analyzed. Statistically, there is no significant mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among the selected whole fresh fruit samples analyzed. This indicates that the mean difference in the Total Fungal Count (TFC) among selected whole fruit samples was not significant. This implies that the mean level of fungal contamination among the fruits is closely distributed and does not have marked differences.

The Tukey post hoc test revealed that the level of fungal contamination was significantly higher in pawpaw and watermelon when compared to cucumber, orange and apple, even though, there was no statistical difference in the level of fungal contamination between watermelon and pawpaw, as well as amongst cucumber, orange and apple. This was because the level of fungal contamination between watermelon and pawpaw was not too far apart.

5. CONCLUSION

The present research has shown that ready-to-eat fruits sold by street vendors in Port Harcourt markets are not safe for human consumption and consumers are at health risk in terms of microbial quality. Also, continuous consumption of food at a tolerable level is a health risk coupled with the type of pathogenic microorganisms isolated. Contamination from farms or production areas, improper food handling while processing, non-hygienic practices while packaging and environmental conditions are major factors responsible for high fungal load on the whole and sliced fruits.

Thus, proper use of potable water for fruit washing and processing equipment, regular hand washing with soap and clean water, and the use of protective disposable polyethylene gloves and good hygiene practices are recommended to fruit vendors. Also, it is imperative to maintain adequate pre- and post- harvest practices of the fruits vended.

Therefore, the results obtained in this report concluded that the association of whole and sliced fresh produce with these fungi may

possibly cause contamination that will lead to outbreaks of human diseases. Thus, proper whole and sliced fresh fruits processing methods that could inhibit the growth or kill these fungi are suggested to ensure the safety of these fruits. From the results obtained above, it clearly shows that these fruits are contaminated and may cause health hazard when consumed.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Takgbajouah M, Buscemi J. Applying the developmental model of use disorders to hedonic hunger: A narrative review. *J Addict Dis.* 2022;40(1):47–55.
2. Feliciano RJ, Guzmán-Luna P, Boué G, Mauricio-Iglesias M, Hospido A, Membre JM. Strategies to mitigate food safety risk while minimizing environmental impacts in the era of climate change. *Trends Food Sci Technol.* 2022; 31:753–61.
3. Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; CLSI M100-S26. 2017.
4. Walaszczyk A, Galińska B. Food origin traceability from a consumer's perspective. *Sustainability.* 2020;12(5):1872–6.
5. Abdulah-Sani NSK. Food safety knowledge, attitude and food handling practices among food-background students in management and Science University, Shah Alam. *Adv Anim Vet Sci.* 2016; 6:95–107.
6. Ajiboye AE, Emmanuel T. Assessment of bacterial contamination in ready-to-eat fruits and vegetables sold at Oja-Oba Market, Ilorin. *Afr J Biochem Res.* 2021;24 (2):203–9.
7. Stenger M, Kristen et al. A mixed methods study of food safety knowledge, practices and beliefs in Hispanic families with young children. *Appetite.* 2014; 83:194–201.

8. Adane H, Metadel et al. Food hygiene and safety measures among food handlers in street food shops and food establishments of Dessie town, Ethiopia: A community-based cross-sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(5).
9. World Health Organization (WHO). Assuring food safety and quality: guidelines for strengthening natural food control systems. *Food Nutr Pap*. 2015; 112:76–82.
10. World Health Organization (WHO). Guidelines for drinking-water quality; fourth edition incorporating the first addendum. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2016;149.
11. Akabanda F, Hlortsi EH, Owusu-Kwarteng J. Food safety knowledge, attitudes and practices of institutional food-handlers in Ghana. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;17(1): 1–9.
12. Vlasin-Marty K, Ritter-Gooder P, Albrecht B. Food safety knowledge, attitudes, and behaviours of Native American families with young children: a mixed methods study. *J Racial Ethn Health Disparities*. 2016;3(4):713–23.
13. Osaili Z, Tareq M, Anas A, Al-Nabulsi B, Heba-Daif R, Allah-Krasneh J. Food safety knowledge among food service staff at the universities in Jordan. *Food Control*. 2018; 89:167–76.
14. Karoki WH, Karanja DN, Bebora LC, Njagi LW. Isolation, characterization and quantification of bacteria from African sausages sold in Nairobi County, Kenya. *Int J Food Sci*. 2018;1–9.
15. Quinlan F, Jennifer J. Food-borne illness incidence rates and food safety risks for populations of low socioeconomic status and minority race/ethnicity: a review of the literature. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2013;10(8):3634–52.
16. Khairuzzaman MD, Chowdhury FM, Zaman S, Al-Mamun A, Bari M. Food safety challenges towards safe, healthy, and nutritious street foods in Bangladesh. *Int J Food Sci*. 2014;1–9
17. Taddese D, Tolosa T, Deresa B, Lakow M, Olani A, Shumi E. Antibigrams and risk factors of Salmonella isolates from laying hens and eggs in Jimma Town, South Western Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019; 12:142.
18. Lima K, Abuhay N, Kindie W, Dagne H, Guadu T. Food hygiene practice and its determinants among food handlers at University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia, 2019. *Int J Gen Med*. 2019;13: 1129–37.
19. Pradhan AK, Pang H, Mishra A. Food-borne disease outbreaks associated with organic foods: animal and plant products. In: Biswas D, Micallef SA, editors. *Safety and practice for organic food*. Cambridge: Academic Press. 2019; 135–50.
20. Ajjolakewu AK, Salaudeen BI. Microbiological quality and safety of pre-cut fruit retailed in Ilorin, Kwara State, Nigeria. *Fount J Nat Appl Sci*. 2015;4(1): 19–26.
21. Beier BD, Quivey RG, Berger AJ. Raman microspectroscopy for species identification and mapping within bacterial biofilms. *AMB Express*. 2014;2:35–40.
22. Mailafia S, Okoh GR, Olabode HOK, Osanupin R. Isolation and identification of fungi associated with spoiled fruits vended in Gwagwalada market, Abuja, Nigeria. *Vet World*. 2017;10(4): 393–7.
23. Wiley J, Sherwood LM, Woolverton C. *Prescott's microbiology*. 17th ed. New York: McGraw-Hill Professional Publishers; 2013;163–76.
24. Al-Mamun M, Rahman SMM, Turin TC. Microbiological quality of selected street food items vended by school-based street food vendors in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2013;166(3):413–8.
25. Kaur P, Rai N. Bacteriological analysis of fresh vegetables from main market of Dehradun. *Int J Pharm Tech Res*. 2015;8 (3):415–25.
26. Abisso TG, Gugero BC, Fissuh YH. Physical quality and microbiological safety of some fruit juices served in cafes/juice houses: the case of Hossana town, Southern Ethiopia. *J Nutr Food Sci*. 2018; 8(3):1–5.
27. Afreen A, Ahmed Z, Ahmad H, Khalid N. Estimates and burden of food-borne pathogens in RTE beverages in relation to vending practices. *Food Qual Saf*. 2019; 3:107–15.
28. Adebayo-Tayo BC, Okonko IO, Esen CU, Odu NN. Microorganisms associated with spoilage of stored vegetables in Uyo Metropolis, Akwa Ibom State, Nigeria. *Nat Sci*. 2012;10(3):23–32.

29. Ugwu CC, Edeh PA. Evaluation of microbial quality of ready-to-eat fruits sold in different markets of Enugu Metropolis, Enugu State, Nigeria. Int J Innov Res Adv Stud. 2019;6(8): 48–52.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/127149>