



Influence of New Generation Herbicide on Microbial Biomass Dynamics in Sandy Loam Soil

Shriman Kumar Patel ^{a++*}, Ramjeet Yadav ^{b#},
Balkrishna Namdeo ^{c†}, Nilanjan Chattopadhyaya ^{b‡}
and Pankaj Kumar ^{d^}

^a KVK, Bhagalpur, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

^b Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, Bihar, India.

^c Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Rabindranath Tagore University, Bhopal, M.P., India.

^d Agricultural Engineering, KVK, Bhagalpur, Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JAMB/2024/v24i3806

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114689>

Original Research Article

Received: 25/01/2024
Accepted: 27/03/2024
Published: 30/03/2024

ABSTRACT

A laboratory experiment was conducted with two new generation herbicide pyrazosulfuron ethyl treatments, namely, recommended dose 15 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (RD) and 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (2RD), carfentrazone ethyl 25 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (RD), 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹ (2RD) along with control (without herbicide) applied to sandy

⁺⁺ Research Associate;

[#] Ph.D. Scholar;

[†] Assistant Professor;

[‡] Professor;

[^] Subject Matter Specialist;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: shrimansoil@gmail.com;

loam soil to determine their effect on microbial biomass dynamics in soil. The application of pyrazosulfuron ethyl (T₁ and T₂) and carfentrazone ethyl (T₃ and T₄) did not show any significant effect at 0 day after application. While, at 7 days after application of herbicide declined soil microbial biomass status. Whereas, at 28 days after application significantly increase microbial biomass carbon and microbial biomass nitrogen except for microbial biomass phosphorus under herbicidal treatments compared to untreated plot. Hence, pyrazosulfuron and carfentrazone ethyl may cause short term transitory change in microbial biomass dynamics in soil moreover at 28 day after application of herbicide no any harmful effect at recommended dose of pyrazosulfuron ethyl and carfentrazone ethyl.

Keywords: Microbial biomass carbon; nitrogen; phosphorus; herbicide.

1. INTRODUCTION

“Weed management is an integral part of crop production, and herbicides continue to be the most common weed management tool in most cropping systems. Because herbicides are usually applied when crops are absent or at early growth stages, most of the spray solution contacts soil. These chemicals may affect non-target soil organisms, including microorganisms. Herbicide-induced changes in abundance, diversity and activity of soil microbial communities may, in turn, influence microorganism-mediated processes that are important to sustainable agriculture. Soil biological properties are critically important to the ecosystem functioning since they are involved in soil organic matter decomposition, nutrient cycling, and degradation of pesticides, such as herbicides. Therefore, studies assessing the effect of herbicides on soil biological properties are important for evaluating soil quality and health. In addition, soil biological properties are more effective as indicators of soil quality than physical and chemical properties as they often show a faster response to an environmental impact” [1]. “Most studies on herbicide effects on soil microorganisms have focused on one or two herbicides at a time” [2]. “While results of these studies indicate that herbicides applied at recommended rates generally do not have significant effects on soil microorganisms, evaluating only a few herbicides at a time limits comparison amongst herbicides on their relative effects on soil microbial ecology. In addition, traditional methods of evaluating effects of herbicides on microorganisms have focused on microbial biomass (or parameters correlated with biomass) and functional parameters such as carbon and nitrogen mineralization” [3]. However, the diversity or structure of the soil microbial community may be altered markedly even if total biomass or carbon and nitrogen metabolism

appear unaffected by the herbicide. Soil microbial biomass, both the source and sink of available nutrients, plays an important role in nutrient transformations. The direct and indirect effects of toxic chemicals on soil biology include reduction in microbial population and reduced mineralization of organic compounds. Dipika [4] reported that “the application of herbicides exerted adverse effect on soil microbial biomass carbon”. The objective of this work was to screen up to 2 herbicides, representing several chemical families, modes of action and different soil residual properties, for their effects on soil microbial biomass carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A bulk soil sample (soil depth, 0-15 cm) from the research farm of the Bihar Agricultural University, Sabour, Bhagalpur was collected to conduct an incubation study of the herbicidal impacts on soil microbial biomass and enzyme activity. The university is located at 25°15'4" N and 78°2'45" E and 37.19 metres above the mean sea level on Indo-Gangetic plains. 200 gms of the air-dried, processed soils are taken in beakers (500 ml). The total number of beakers is 100 (treatments (5) x replications (4) x incubation periods (5)). The treatment details are No herbicide (control), Pyrazosulfuron ethyl @ 15 g and 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹, and Carfentrazone ethyl @ 25 g and 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹. The incubation periods of this study were 0, 7, 14, 21 and 28 days of application (DAA) at 28 °C. The experiment was arranged in a completely randomized design. Soils containing beakers of different treatments were collected at every incubation period and further examined for the dynamics of microbial biomass carbon such as microbial biomass carbon, microbial biomass nitrogen and microbial biomass phosphorus. Two set of fresh soil samples were taken in beakers, the first one was fumigated with chloroform for 24

hours, while the second one was kept unfumigated. Both the fumigated and unfumigated soil samples were extracted with using 0.5 M K₂SO₄. A blank was also run simultaneously. Then, 2 ml K₂Cr₂O₇, 10 ml H₂SO₄ and 5 ml H₃PO₄ were added to 10 ml of the extracts. They were kept at 160 °C for 30 minutes on hot plate, then take out conical flasks and add 250 ml of distilled water immediately after cool at room temperature, add 2-3 drops of ferriin indicator and titrate the contents against 0.2 N ferrous ammonium sulphate to get a brick-red end point [5], MBN [6], and MBP [7]. Data were analysed using ANOVA for factorial completely randomized design (CRD) as described by [8].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Microbial Biomass Carbon (MBC)

The application of Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% wettable powder and Carfentrazone ethyl 40% dry flowable was found to have no significant effect on microbial biomass carbon under neither of days of application (Table 1). Then at 7 DAA, maximum MBC recorded (176.45µg g⁻¹ soil) in the treatment T₅ (control). While the application of Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP (T₁ and T₂) and Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF (T₃ and T₄) in both doses (single and double recommended doses) significant by declined the microbial biomass carbon in 7 days after application. The percent decreases by the treatment were 17.04, 14.22, 14.21, and 12.16 as compared to control. Yang and Zheng [9] found that decline microbial biomass carbon application of herbicide. "It was also hypothesized that cell division of microbial population hampered upon the first stressful event and it takes time to recover its growth until the stressor was completely removed" [10,11]. "Likewise, the present experiment was conducted in microcosm condition under dark incubation where degradation of Pyrazosulfuron was restricted from many factors such as photolysis, volatilization, leaching and run-off processes" [12]. In our study at 28 days after application of herbicide significant increases in microbial biomass carbon in all herbicidal treatment over untreated plot due to enhanced activity of microorganisms due to application herbicide. The herbicide and days interaction were significant on microbial biomass carbon in the order T₁, T₃, T₂ and T₄ respectively, over control under 28 days after application of herbicide.

3.2 Microbial Biomass Nitrogen (MBN)

The application of Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP and Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF was found to have no significant effect on microbial biomass nitrogen under at zero days after application (Table 2). While, at 7 DAA significant reduction microbial biomass nitrogen in herbicidal treatment compared to control. Thereafter, at 28 DAA maximum (19.23 µg g⁻¹ soil) MBN recorded in T₁ (Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP 15 g a.i. ha⁻¹) followed by T₃ (Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF 25 g a.i. ha⁻¹) as compared to control plot. Singh et al. [13] showed "a strong negative correlation between microbial biomass and crop root biomass through the crop cycles". Similar temporal trend of microbial biomass was also reported by [14,15]. "However, contrasting trends showing increase in the size of the microbial biomass with the crop growth. Microbial biomass carbon was highly sensitive to herbicide application compared to dehydrogenase activity. Application of pendimethalin exerted adverse effect on microbial biomass carbon and dehydrogenase activity followed by bispyribacsodium, oxyfluorfen, and cyhalofop-butyl at all the intervals. The adverse effects of herbicides on biological activity were minimal in high organic matter soils" [16]. The herbicide and days interaction were significant on microbial biomass nitrogen in the order T₁, T₃, T₂ and T₄ respectively, over control under 28 days after application of herbicide.

3.3 Microbial Biomass Phosphorus (MBP)

It has been observed from the data presented in Table 3 that application of Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP and Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF did not show any significant effect on microbial biomass phosphorus up to 28 days after application of herbicide in incubation period. "Herbicide application at the recommended dose in this study did not result any significant change in soil MBC, MBN, and MBP compared to the control treatment, probably because the effects of herbicide application on microbial biomass is short-term and relatively insignificant compared with the seasonal variations" [17]. Hart and Brookes [18] reported "no effect on microbial biomass after 19 years of annual field applications of pesticides (glyphosate, benomyl, chlorfenvinphos, and triadimefon) applied at the recommended rates". Singh and Ghoshal [15] reported "reductions in the microbial biomass due to the application of herbicide", whereas [19]

reported higher levels of microbial biomass. The herbicide and days interaction were not significant on microbial biomass phosphorus in the order T₁, T₃, T₂ and T₄ respectively, over control under 28 days after application of herbicide.

Table 1. Effect of herbicidal treatment on microbial biomass carbon under incubation laboratory experiment

Treatments	Microbial biomass carbon ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) (Average of four replications sat)					
	0 DAA	7 DAA	14 DAA	21 DAA	28 DAA	Mean
T ₁	176.43	154.98	150.39	156.42	185.56	164.76
T ₂	176.09	151.37	146.30	151.26	179.75	160.95
T ₃	176.37	151.13	148.22	154.87	181.49	162.41
T ₄	176.53	146.37	144.18	149.87	178.70	159.13
T ₅	176.61	176.45	176.62	176.36	175.53	176.31
Mean	176.40	156.06	153.14	157.76	180.21	-
Particulars				SEm \pm	CD at 5%	CD at 1%
Herbicide (H)				1.12	3.16	4.19
Days (D)				1.12	3.16	4.19
Interaction (HxD)				2.51	5.21	9.37

Treatment Details: T₁ - Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP 15 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₂ - Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP 30 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₃ - Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF 25 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₄ - Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF 50 g a.i. ha⁻¹, T₅ – Control, DDA-Day after application

Table 2. Effect of herbicidal treatment on microbial biomass nitrogen under incubation laboratory experiment

Treatments	Microbial biomass nitrogen ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) (Average of four replications sat)					
	0 DAA	7 DAA	14 DAA	21 DAA	28 DAA	Mean
T ₁	17.34	14.43	15.76	17.09	26.54	19.23
T ₂	17.65	12.56	14.76	16.60	23.65	17.84
T ₃	17.43	12.76	15.76	16.66	24.43	18.61
T ₄	17.59	11.34	14.89	16.59	25.89	17.26
T ₅	17.44	17.79	17.91	17.98	21.43	17.11
Mean	17.49	13.78	15.82	17.38	25.59	-
Particulars				SEm \pm	CD at 5%	CD at 1%
Herbicide (H)				0.09	0.26	0.26
Days (D)				0.09	0.26	0.26
Interaction (HxD)				0.21	0.56	0.72

Table 3. Effect of herbicidal treatment on microbial biomass phosphorus under incubation laboratory experiment

Treatments	Microbial biomass phosphorus ($\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ soil) (Average of four replications sat)					
	0 DAA	7 DAA	14 DAA	21 DAA	28 DAA	Mean
T ₁	8.45	8.68	14.65	13.76	14.94	12.10
T ₂	8.43	7.93	14.89	12.54	13.97	11.55
T ₃	8.64	8.94	14.02	13.56	15.43	12.12
T ₄	8.56	8.03	13.43	13.98	14.84	11.77
T ₅	8.38	8.56	13.98	14.87	14.56	12.07
Mean	8.49	8.43	14.19	13.74	14.75	-
Particulars				SEm \pm	CD at 5%	CD at 1%
Herbicide (H)				0.14	0.37	0.67
Days (D)				0.14	0.37	0.67
Interaction (HxD)				0.29	0.73	1.36

4. CONCLUSION

The application of Pyrazosulfuron ethyl 10% WP and Carfentrazone ethyl 40% DF single and double doses of herbicide did not show any significant effect on microbial biomass dynamics at 0 DAA. While, at 7 DAA of herbicide significant decline MBC and MBN except MBP. Thereafter, at 28 DAA significant increases microbial biomass carbon and microbial biomass nitrogen as compared to control. Pyrazosulfuron ethyl and Carfentrazone ethyl have a short-term transitory change in the microbial biomass dynamics of the soil.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Pertile M, Antunes JE, Araujo FF, Mendes L, Brink JV, Araujo AS. Responses of soil microbial biomass and enzyme activity to herbicides imazethapyr and fumioxazin. Scientific report. 2020;10:7694. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64648-3>.
2. Haney RL, Senseman SA, Hons FM, Zuberer DA. Effect of glyphosate on soil microbial activity and biomass. Weed Sci. 2000;48:89–93.
3. Johnsen K, Jacobsen CS, Torsvik V. Pesticide effects on bacterial diversity in agricultural soils—A review. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 2001;33:443–453.
4. Dipika. Effect of different post emergence herbicides on microbiological and biochemical properties of rice soil. M. Sc. (Ag.) thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur. 2014; 99.
5. Vance ED, Brookes PC, Jenkinson DS. An extraction method for measuring soil microbial biomass carbon. Soil Biol Biochem. 1987;19:703–707.
6. Brookes PC, Landman A, Pruden G, Jenkinson DS. Chloroform fumigation and the release of soil nitrogen: A rapid direct extraction method to measure microbial biomass nitrogen in soil. Soil Biol Biochem. 1985;17:837–842.
7. Brookes PC, Powlson DS, Jenkinson DS. Measurement of microbial biomass phosphorus in soil. Soil Biol Biochem. 1982;14:319–329.
8. Gomez KA, Gomez AA. Statistical procedures for agricultural research (2 Ed.). John Wiley and sons, New York. 1984; 680.
9. Yang JC, Zheng MT. Functional diversity of microbial communities: A quantitative approach. Soil Biol. Biochem. 2006;26:1101–1108.
10. Andersson SG. Stress management strategies in single bacterial cell. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2016;113(15):3921–3923.
11. Kumar U, Panneerselvam M, Priya P, Chakraborty K, Swain P, Chatterjee SN, Sharma SG, Nayak AK. Ascorbic acid for mulation for survivability and diazotrophic efficacy of *Azotobacter chroococcum* Avi2 (MCC 3432) under hydrogen peroxide stress and its role in plant growth promotion in rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Plant Physiol. Biochem. 2019;139:419–427.
12. Kanrar S, Bhattacharyya S. Soil microbial population as affected by herbicides. Madras Agric. J. 2019;80:397–99.
13. Singh K, Tripathi HP. Effect of nitrogen and weed-control practices on performance of irrigated direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa*). Indian Journal of Agronomy. 2000;52(3):231–234.
14. Bhattacharaya P, Mukherjee A, Datta P, Karmakar PR, Kole RK. Dissipation pattern of the herbicide Pyrazosulfuron- ethyl in Alluvial and red lateritic soils of West Bengal. Journal of crop and weed. 2005;2(2):65–69.
15. Ghoshal N, Singh KP. Impact of addition of various resource quality inputs on soil CO₂ flux and C balance in a tropical dryland agroecosystem. In 19th World Congress of Soil Science on Soil Solutions for a Changing World. Brisbane. 2010; 113–116.
16. Amrita K, Devi KM. Effect of pre and post emergence herbicides on microbial biomass carbon and dehydrogenase activity. Journal of Tropical Agriculture. 2017;55(1):114–118.
17. Subhani A, Elghamry AM, Huang CY, Xu JM. Effects of pesticides (herbicides) on soil microbial biomass, A review. Pakistan J Biol Sci. 2000;3:705–709.

18. Hart MR, Brookes PC. Soil microbial biomass and mineralization of soil organic matter after 19 years of cumulative field applications of pesticides. *Soil Biol Biochem.* 1996;28:1641–1649.
19. Moreno JL, Aliaga A, Navarro S, Hern T, Garcia C. Effects of atrazine on microbial activity in semiarid soil. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2007;35:120–127.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/114689>