

Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science

Volume 9, Issue 1, Page 154-168, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.106586 ISSN: 2581-7167

Management of Fusarium Wilt of Tomato (*Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici*) and Related Soil-borne Diseases using Eco-friendly Methods: A Review

Haruna S. G. a*, Yahuza L. a and Tijjani I. a

^a Department of Crop Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, Bayero University Kano, Nigeria.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJRCS/2024/v9i1257

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106586

Review Article

Received: 01/08/2023 Accepted: 06/10/2023 Published: 27/02/2024

ABSTRACT

Tomato is rich in vitamins, minerals and contains anti-oxidant compounds, like vitamin C and lycopene that has significant role in human health as it has anti-cancer properties. Tomato production is significantly affected by several factors amongst which Fusarium Wilt is one of the major and widespread fungal soil borne diseases in Nigeria. Difficulty in controlling this disease is attributable to long survivability of the pathogen and its existence in diverse pathogenic races. Conventional strategies, such as the use of resistant cultivars and synthetic fungicides, are not completely effective in managing tomato wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* and because of unintended effects of chemicals on the environments its application is highly cautioned. This paper has examined many non-pesticide approaches such as application of bio-control agents,

Asian J. Res. Crop Sci., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 154-168, 2024

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: sgharuna.cpp@buk.edu.ng;

use of suppressive soils, composts/vermicomposts and their extracts, animal manure and urine, soil solarization, botanical extracts, good agricultural practices and integrated disease management strategy to control Fusarium wilt and other related soil borne diseases. It is worth noting that no single method can effectively control such diseases. In order to achieve a sustainable and eco-friendly disease management strategy the use of integrated approach is highly recommended. This review will provide farmers with diverse methods to be integrated as management package for Fusarium wilt and related diseases.

Keywords: Bio-solarization; bio-fumigation; compost; eco-friendly disease management; fungicides; Fusarium wilts; soil-borne diseases; suppressive soil; tomato.

1. INTRODUCTION

"Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) is a vital component of daily food and is consumed as unprocessed fresh fruits as well as in various types of processed products" [1]. "It is cultivated in all countries either in fields or in protected culture" [2]. Fusarium wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* (Sacc.) Snyder and Hansen (*FOL*) is one of the most significant diseases affecting tomato worldwide [3,4,5,6], especially in the acidic sandy soils of tropical regions [7]. "The disease has become a limiting factor to the sustainable development of tomato production due to the long-term survival of the chlamydospore in the plant debris of the soil" [8].

"Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici as a soilborne pathogen can survive indefinitely in the absence of host in infested soil as chlamydospores, and its germination is triggered by the presence of tomato root" [9,7]. "Sequential steps involved in the infection process of FOL is summarized as root recognition through hostpathogen signals, attachment to surface of root hairs and hyphal propagation, invasion of the root cortex, and vascular tissue and differentiation within xylem vessels and finally oozing of toxins and virulence factors" [7]. "This is followed by colonization of the vascular tissues leading to disease development that led to wilting of the host plant" [10,11].

"Fusarium wilt causes great losses on the susceptible varieties of tomato especially when soil and air temperature are high during the warm season" [12]. The pathogen inflicts a substantial yield loss ranging from 25 to 55% [13] and up to 90% is reported under optimal infection conditions [14]. In Nigeria, the disease causes serious yield losses up to of 50% [15], especially in the Northern parts of the country. "The pathogen is controlled by disinfecting the soil with methyl bromide, chloropicrin or metham sodium and systemic fungicides such as benomyl, thiabendazole and thiophanate. However, sustainable use of fungicides in *FOL* management is difficult due to development of resistant isolates and damaging effects on the natural environment, the agro ecosystem and human beings" [16]. This stimulated the development of alternative methods as disease management strategies. These methods are briefly summarized as Follows:

2. USE OF BIO-CONTROL AGENTS

Biocontrol agents had been reported to be an effective method used in controlling plant diseases [17]. They have been reported to be used in the control of Fusarium wilts for many crops including tomato, cucumber, melon, strawberry, banana and carnation [18]. Biocontrol agents such as non-pathogenic F. oxysporum [19,20]; hypovirulent binucleate Rhizoctonia [21], Gliocladium virens Trichoderma hamatum,, Burkholderia cepaci, Pseudomonas fluorescens [22], Bacillus subtilis, Streptomyces pulcher [23], Baccillus polymayxa [24] and Enterobacter cloacae [25] have been reported to control Fusarium wilt disease. Use of Mycoparasites such as Trichoderma harzianum, T. viride and T. harmatum as bio-control agents in tomato field significantly controlled tomato wilt caused by Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici [26].

"Suppression of Fusarium wilt using biocontrol agents has been achieved through interactions among the plant, the pathogen, the biocontrol agents, the microbial community around the plant and the physical environment" [27]. Hydrolytic enzymes of antagonistic microorganisms have been considered to play an important role in the biological control of plant pathogens. Many enzymes have been isolated from various strains of *Trichoderma* Species [28], *Gliocladium vixens* [29], *Paenibacillus* and *Streptomyces* species [30], and their activities were assayed and found effective in controlling Fusarium wilts. This is accomplished through competition; secretions of antibiotics, parasitism and induced resistance [31].

3. USE OF SUPPRESSIVE SOILS

"Soil disease suppression is the reduction in the incidence of soil-borne diseases even in the presence of a host plant and inoculum in the soil, attributed diverse microbial mainly to communities present in the soil that could act against soil-borne pathogens in multifaceted ways" [32]. Many groups of microorganisms found in the soil are potential biological control agents which suppress Fusarium wilt of tomato [33]. Soil has untapped and several potential biocontrol agents that have shown high antagonistic activity against several soil-borne pathogens [34]. The soil- inhabiting antagonists were found to be effective suppressant to Fusarium wilt of tomato and these include; nonpathogenic F. oxysporum [35], Trichoderma, Rhizoctonia, and Gliocladium [36], Bacillus species and Streptomyces species [37]. Pseudomonas spp. [38]. Biological mechanisms through which the disease-suppressive soils control the disease development are illustrated in Fig. 1.

4. USE OF COMPOSTS/VERMICOM-POSTS AS ORGANIC AMENDMENTS

Organic amendments showed antibacterial and antifungal activity against soil-borne and foliar pathogens. Bananomi et al. [39] reported that extensive application of composts controlled several soil-borne pathogens (Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotinia spp., Pythium spp., Verticillium dahliae, Fusarium spp., Phytophthora spp., and Thielaviopsis sp.) which were responsible for causing wilting, damping-off and decaying in many horticultural crops. Liu et al. [40] reported the use of organic amendments as an ecofriendly practice that promote soil fertility and suppressiveness against a wide range of soilborne pathogens. Many types of composts were reported to have suppressed Fusarium wilts in different crops [41,42]. The efficacy of composts in managing soil-borne disease varied according to Termorshuizen et al. [43], but it is still considered a suitable method of controlling soilborne diseases [44]. Avilés et al. [45] attributed "the ability of compost to suppress plant pathogens to various roles played by microbes in composts which might be directly responsible for antagonistic interactions with pathogens and/or for the induction of systemic resistance in host

plants" [46]. "Microbial activity during compost maturation indirectly contributed to the formation of natural bio-stimulants and humic molecules which triggered bio-control agents to suppress soil pathogens" [47].

Despite the potential of composts to suppress soil-borne pathogens in general, the success of the application of certain composts against a particular pathogen is not predictable [48], this is one of the major limitations of compost application in soil borne disease management. In an effort to increase harnessing of compost microbiomes for plant protection, Lutz et al. [48] proposed an integrated systems approach (Fig. 2). They further reported that outcomes of the systems approach may include identification and isolation of new biocontrol strains and their mode of action, development of microbial consortia with suppressive superior to sinale strains. development of diagnostic tools to allow a targeted application of composts against soilborne diseases, and the development of strategies to selectively promote key microbial organisms in composts. Compost application to agricultural fields is an excellent natural approach, which can be taken to fight against plant pathogens and its application is also an environmentally friendly alternative to chemical use [49] and improve the soil health and its nutrient levels.

"Use of organic matter in the form of vermicomposts has been recognized as having considerable potential as soil amendments for improving vield and managing tomato wilt caused by FOL" [50,51]. "Vermicomposts which are products of organic matter degradation through interactions between earthworms and microorganisms, accelerates the rates of decomposition of the organic matter, alters the physical and chemical properties of the material, and lowers the C: N ratio, leading to a rapid humification" [52]. This increases the surface area of the materials for colonization by beneficial microorganisms [53].

5. USE OF COMPOST/VERMICOMPOST EXTRACTS

"Compost extracts are liquid extracts derived from mature composts, suitable for application to soil and/or as Foliar spray" [54]. "It contains organic and inorganic soluble nutrients, and a large number of organisms including fungi, bacteria, protozoa and nematodes" [55]. Compost extracts are also known as compost Haruna et al.; Asian J. Res. Crop Sci., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 154-168, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.106586



Fig. 1. Possible mechanisms of disease-suppressive soil a close view Source [Jayaraman et al., 2021]

teas [56]. Divers [57] reported that "the active compounds identified in compost extracts include bacteria (Bacillus), yeasts (Sporobolomycetes and Cryptococcus) and fungi, as well as chemical antagonists such as phenols and amino acids". "Water extracts prepared from composted organic matter had been used by farmers for many years for their perceived beneficial effects on plant health" [58,40]. Yohalem and others [59] reported that "compost extract reduced the severity of Foliar diseases such as powdery and downy mildews of grapes caused by Plasmopara viticola and Uncinula necator (syn. Erysiphe necator) respectively, gray mould of straw berries (Botrytis cinerea), and late blight of potato (Phytophthora infestans)".

"Microbial populations in compost extracts are considered the most significant factor contributing to disease suppression" [60]. "However, there is a limited understanding of the microbial species composition, how these organisms survive on plants and the role of microbial diversity in the efficacy of the extracts. Results obtained from several studies suggested that antibiosis, which is a mechanism of

retention of suppressive qualities from the filter or heat sterilized extracts" [59]. "There is evidence that antibiotic metabolites present in compost extracts originate from the compost source" [61]. Al-Dahmani and his co-workers [62] reported "significant but inconsistent control bacterial of tomato spot (Xanthomonas vesicatoria) with cow manure; pine bark; and vard waste compost extracts". Hointink and coworkers [63] reported that the mechanisms of action exhibited by compost extracts in suppressing plant diseases appear to be antibiotic, competitive, parasitic and through induction of resistance. However, the efficacy and the suppressive ability of compost extracts depend on: age of compost, source of compost, type of target pathogen, method of preparation, meteorological condition, timing and frequency of application [64]. Research studies showed that aqueous extracts of vermicompost and/or organic compost were effective in inhibiting mycelial growth of Botrytis cinerea, Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Sclerotium rolfsii, Rhizoctonia solani and Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. lycopersici [65,66].

suppression might be responsible, due to

Haruna et al.; Asian J. Res. Crop Sci., vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 154-168, 2024; Article no.AJRCS.106586



Fig. 2. An integrated systems approach to enhance understanding of the microorganisms responsible for the disease-suppressive effects of compost in various plant pathogen

systems. Source: [48]

6. USE OF ANIMAL MANURE AND URINE

Basak et al. [67] studied the efficacy and *in vitro* activities of cow urine and dung for controlling wilt caused by *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *cucumerinum* of cucumber and *F. solani* f.sp. *cucurbitae*. Cow dung solution (20 grams, peeled and sliced potato- 200 grams, dextrose 20 grams, agar- 20 grams and distilled water-1000 mL)and urine (500 mL and distilled water 500 mL) showed 80 - 84% and 100% inhibition of wilt pathogens, respectively.

Ashlesha et al. [68] reported "effective control of damping-off of okra and root rot of pea incited by *R. solani using* fresh cow dung, cow urine and

cow milk based preparations". Sinha et al. [69] properties studied "the antifungal of vermicompost and vermiwash against soil borne pathogens (Pythium ultimum, R. solani and Fusarium sp.) and recorded 51-72% inhibition in mycelial growth of the pathogens". Similarly, Sang et al. [70] reported "the reduction in mycelial growth of Phytophthora capsici and Colletotrichum coccodes in pepper and C. orbiculare in cucumber by water extracts of compost". Sugha [71]evaluated "the antifungal potential of panchgavya (combination of five dairy products) against R. solani, S. rolfsii, F. sclerotiorum and Phytophthora solani, S. colocasiae and advocated that the mycelial bits dipped for 6 h in panchgavya caused complete

suppression of mycelial growth of *R. solani* and other pathogens". Dogra [72] also reported "the antifungal activity of panchgavya against major soil-borne pathogens comprising *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *pisi*, *R. solani*, *S. solfsii* and *S. sclerotiorum*".

7. BIO-FUMIGATION

"The term bio-fumigation refers to the allelopathic effects of biocidal glucosinolate (GSL) hydrolysis products, principally isothiocyanates (ITCs) on soil organisms" [73]. The term "bio-fumigation" was coined to describe the suppression of soilborne pathogens by compounds released from Brassica tissues, and implies a greater reduction in the load of pathogen inoculum than that resulted from the simple absence of a host. Biofumigation denotes the application of plant materials to treat fields infected with diseases or nematodes [74]. Trindade and Aries [75] defined bio-fumigation as the suppression of soil-borne pests by biocidal compounds of plant origin such as isothiocyanates and polyphenols. It is therefore, an intentional use of bioactive plants and other organic materials that aid in reducing populations of plant pests in soil, mostly Brassicas and Allium species [76].

"Suppression of soil-borne pests by biofumigation was achieved due to the presence of biocidal compounds; particularly isothiocvanates released from brassicaceous rotation and green manure crops when the glucosinolates (GSLs) in their tissues were hydrolysed in soil" [77]. "Some hydrolysis products, particularly the ITCs were known to have broad biocidal activity including insecticidal, nematicidal, fungicidal, antibiotic and phytotoxic effects" [78]. Biofumigation also denotes the application of agronomic practices which involves the use of volatile chemicals (allelochemicals) released from decomposing plant tissues or using a specific plant species containing identified toxic molecules to suppress soil-borne pests [79]. Apart from brassicas, plants from Caricaceae, Moringaceae. Salvadoraceae and Tropaeolaceae families were also known to have bio-fumigant properties [80,81]. Arnault et al. [82] reported the ability of Brassicaceae and Alliaceae family in producing many sulphur-containing volatile compounds arising via cleavage of certain S-alk (en)yl cysteine sulphoxides which could act on a variety of soil borne pests, including fungi, bacteria and nematodes.

Bio-fumigation greatly reduced pesticide application making farming cheaper and safer as

it added organic matter to the soil, leading to increased soil aeration, water infiltration rates and soil water holding capacity [83]. Biofumigation was also reported to have increased soil porosity if used as a green manure and added more organic carbon to the soil thereby increasing the activity of soil fauna and flora [84]. Most studies on bio-fumigation have been done using brassicas [85], plant families like Allliaceae Moringaceae and Caricacaeae also possess biofumigant properties and could therefore be used in bio-fumigation [83]. The liberation of volatile compounds from decomposing crop residues generally occurs within a few days after their incorporation moist soil following in decomposition of bio-fumigant plant tissues which principally release isothiocyanates, in addition to thiocyanates, nitriles and oxazolidinethiones [86]. Isothiocyanates are released followina tissue damage when endogenous myrosinase enzymes hydrolyze glucosinolates which are produced by plants as secondary metabolites [12]. Degradation of tissues of Alliaceae releases sulphurous volatiles such as thiosulfines which is converted into disulphides that have biocidal properties against fungi, nematodes, bacteria and other pathogens [87].

Bio-fumigant crops can be grown as cover crops or intercrops which could be slashed and ploughed under at flowering [88]. Besides growing the brassicas as green manure crops, they can be formulated into either a brassica cake or powder which can be incorporated into the soil or may be used as mulch [89]. It was also reported that bio fumigation enhanced soil saprophytic activity by microbes like Streptomyces sp. which act as agents for the induction of plant resistance to diseases like caused Rhizoctonia those bv SD. [90]. Biofumigation with poultry manure and cabbage residues has been reported to control Fusarium wilt of tomato and therefore recommended to be applied as bio-pesticides and also as bio-fertilizer for improving yield on Fusarium wilt infected tomatoes [91].

8. SOIL SOLARIZATION

Soil solarization is hydrothermal soil disinfestations process that utilizes clear plastic mulch to trap solar radiation in moist soil during the hottest periods resulting in increased soil temperature to levels that can be lethal to soil-borne pathogens [92,93,94,95,96,97]. Pokharel and Hammon [98] and Siddigi et al. [98] reported

hiah temperature that generated durina solarization induced changes in soil volatile compounds that were toxic to soil pests and This pathogenic organisms. method has attracted the interest of scientists in many warmclimate countries because of its effectiveness, simplicity, and safety for humans, plants, and the environment [98,99]. Soil solarization as a natural hydrothermal process of disinfesting soil is accomplished through passive solar heating. Gelsomino et al. [100] also reported that reduction of soil-borne inoculum of plant pathogens achieved by direct thermal inactivation at soil temperatures ranging from 40°C to more than 60°C as the primary function of solarization to manage fungi, bacteria and nematodes.

Researchers have reported the use of soil solarization as eco-friendly method against fungal pathogens such as Verticillium spp., Fusarium spp. and Phytophthora cinnamomi and bacterial pathogens such as Streptomyces scabies. Agrobacterium tumefaciens, and Clavibacter michiganensis [101,102]. Chen et al. [103] revealed the ability of soil solarization to increase the release of soluble nutrients such as inorganic N forms, extractable P and K, available cations, and dissolved organic matter resulting in improved vegetative plant growth and yield. Soil solarization is an attractive alternative method to replace the use of methyl bromide that was phased out in 2015. Fuentes et al. [104] opined that soil solarization alone might not consistently be effective to control soil-borne pathogens and pests, but its efficacy could be increased if combined with cultural. biological and/or chemical methods. The method could be used singly or in combination with other methods to effectively manage soil-borne diseases [105].

9. BIO-SOLARISATION

Combining solarization with the incorporation of plant residues in the soil produces a more consistently suppressive and sometimes eradicative effect on soil-borne fungi, bacteria, nematodes, weeds and pests rather than either treatment used alone [97]. Soil solarization as reported by Eshel et al. [106] could be improved by combining it with other control measures. Managing Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici, the causal agent of tomato wilt with solarization alone was not effective because the soil-borne pathogen was heat tolerant [108]. Several researchers have reported the potential of improving pathogen control and expansion of

spectrum activity of biocontrol agents by integrating soil solarization with organic amendments [94,107]. Integrating soil solarization with plant residues proved to be aood alternative in managing soil-borne pathogens especially under a situation where the use of organic amendment alone proved to be ineffective [109].

Many studies have demonstrated the effectiveness of combining solarization with biofumigation by covering and heating soil containing Brassica residues which produced deleterious effects on soil-borne fungi and nematodes far better than where the residues were simply incorporated into natural field soil [110]. Combining soil solarization and biofumigation has been a good measure in combating diseases caused by soil-borne pathogens such as Fusarium wilt of tomato [111]. Bio-solarization is also known as anaerobic soil disinfestation (ASD), described as biological process of disinfesting the soil by creating its condition anaerobic using simply decomposable amendments such as rice bran, fresh crop residues and soybean flour by covering it with polypropylene and water it to saturation [112]. The soil amendments used in ASD provide the substrate for speedy microbial growth [113]. Application of water on the organic amendment, polypropylene coverina with mulch and decomposition of soil amendment restrict the gaseous exchange between the soil and atmosphere, thus creating anaerobic conditions and release of toxic substances such as acetic acid, butyric acid, and other volatiles which can be lethal to the soil-borne pathogens [114].

10. USE OF BOTANICALS

Mahadevan [115] reported that the presence of antifungal components in higher plants to have been recognized as an important factor for disease resistance due to their biodegradability and selective ability in their toxicity. They are considered as highly valuable in controlling some plant diseases [115] Neem extract as one of the botanicals used in managing plant diseases has been reported to show antimicrobial activity with effects Sphaerotheca notable on fuliginea causing powdery mildew [116]. Significant levels of control of Fusarium wilt of tomato [117, downy mildew of grape caused by Plasmophora viticola, late leaf spot incited by Phaeoisariopsis personata and rust of ground nut caused by Puccinia arachidis has been documented [118]. Tests done by Kimaru et al [117] revealed that the neem cake powder contained ingredients that had fungistatic effects against *Fusarium* wilt of tomatoes. Qasem and Abu-Blan [119] studied the antifungal effect of aqueous extract of *Ranunculus* sp. against *F. oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici in vitro* and observed that there was a strong inhibition in the mycelial growth of the pathogen. In another study, Shivpuri et al. [120] reported the toxicity of ethanol leaf extracts of neem and Datura against *F. oxysporum* and *R. solani* under laboratory conditions.

11. USE OF RESISTANT OR TOLERANT VARIETIES

Use of resistant or tolerant varieties is the most eco-friendly and cost-effective disease [121,122] practice management 2011). Cerkauskas [123] reported the availability of varieties that are resistant to races I and II of Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici which will offer a valid alternative to the use of chemicals. However, additional pathogenic strain (Race 3) of the pathogen which affects the developed resistant varieties has been reported in several countries [22,124,125,126]. For this reason, complementary methods of controlling the disease need to be explored.

12. GOOD AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES (GAP)

Improvement of plant vigour through good farm management often helps in increasing resistance to pathogen attack. Earlier reports ascertained that altering of the soil pH by appropriate choice of fertilizer led to amelioration of the environment making it unfavourable for disease development [127]. "Raising soil pH between 6 - 7.5 and fertilizing with nitrogenous fertilizer controlled Fusarium wilt of tomato and chrysanthemum" [128]. Crop rotation with non-solanaceous crops such as cereals helped in reducing Fusarium wilt [129]. Use of soil amendments has been reported to be more promising than fungicides in controlling Fusarium wilt because it led to the reduction or elimination of inoculum of the pathogen in the soil [130] Jeff [131] and Kimaru et al. [117] attributed "the effectiveness of soil amendment against soil-borne pathogen to enhanced host nutrition, proliferation of antagonistic microorganisms and heat produced during decomposition". "Decomposed organic materials have long been recommended in most agricultural and horticultural systems using organic farming methods as they offered possible control strategies for soil-borne diseases" [117].

13. INTEGRATED DISEASE MANAGEMENT (IDM)

Waiganjo et al. [132] recognized "Integrated Pest Management (IPM) as effective approach for agricultural productivity increasing and environmental combating degradation in developing countries. The Integrated Pest Management seeks to improve the productivity of high-value marketed horticultural crops in Africa. Practices that can help to build healthy soils include crop rotation, organic matter additions or using high-residue tillage implements". "А significant amount of research has been conducted on the suppression of pests and diseases through the application of compost products worldwide. The results have shown that composts can provide natural biological control of soil-borne diseases affecting collar and roots as well as plant Foliage" [133]. "The inclusion of green manures and cover crops in a rotation is an excellent way to improve soil fertility. suppress weeds and provide a break in pest cycles" [131]. A research conducted by Singh et al. [134] disclosed effective suppression of Fusarium wilt of tomato by integrating plant extracts with biocontrol agents and chemicals which significantly suppressed Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici.

14. CONCLUSION

Chemical fungicides application are often not effective enough to control Fusarium wilts and other soil-borne diseases, and recently there is global outcry and public concerns about their deleterious effects. This necessitates the search for viable alternatives which are safe and ecofriendly. This review has explained the nonchemical methods that could conceivably be exploited for effective management of FOL. It also attributed difficulties in the control of FOL to the emergence of new pathogenic races, elimination of bio-control organisms as a result of indiscriminate use of fungicides and inadequate land for long-term crop rotation. Considering the adverse effects of chemicals to the environment and human health, it is therefore, necessary to find novel alternatives to control Fusarium wilt of tomato. Adoption of these methods for Fusarium wilt management and other soil-borne disease on tomatoes and vegetables may not eradicate all the pathogenic fungi from the soil. The use of integrated disease management strategies may offer solution to this where some of the ecofriendly ways outlined may be applied with/or without judicious application of fungicides.

Therefore, the use of integrated approach is highly recommended to achieve sustainable tomato production.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by Bayero University Institutional Based Research Grant (BUK/DRIP/TETF/0012).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Brookie KL, Best GL, Conner TS, Intake of vegetables raw fruits and is associated with better mental health than processed intake of fruits and vegetables. Frontier Psychology. 2018;9:487.
- Houssei AA, Ahmed SM, Ismail AA. Activation of tomato plant defense response against Fusarium wilt disease using *Trichoderma harzianum* and salicyclic acid under greenhouse conditions. Research Journal of Agriculture and Biological Sciences. 2010;6(3):328– 338.
- 3. Ajilogba CF, Babalola OO. Integrated management strategies for Tomato *Fusarium* Wilt. Biocontrol Science. 2013;18(3):117-127.
- Borisade OA, Uwaidem YL, Salami AE. Preliminary report on *Fusarium* oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici (Sensulato) from some tomato producing agro ecological areas in Southwestern Nigeria and susceptibility of F1-resistant tomato hybrid (F1-Lindo) to infection. Ann. Res. Rev. Biol. 2017;18(2):1–9.
- 5. Abdelaziz AM, Kalaba MH, Hashem AH, Sharaf MH, Attia MS. Biostimulation of tomato growth and biocontrol of *Fusarium* wilt disease using certain endophytic fungi. Botanical Studies. 2022;63:34.

DOI: 10.1186/s40529-022-00364-7

 Mostafa YS, Alamri SA, Alrumman SA, Hashem M, Taher MA, Baka ZA. *In vitro* and *in vivo* biocontrol of tomato Fusarium wilt by extracts from brown, red, and green macroalgae. Agriculture. 2022;12:345. Available:https://doi.org/10.3390/ agriculture12030345

- Srinivas CN, Devib K, Narasimha M, Chakrabhavi D, Mohan TR, Lakshmeesha C, Bhim Pratap S, Naveen K, Kalagatur SR, Niranjana AH, Abdulaziz A, Alqarawi BT, Elsayed FA, Chandra Nayaka S, Srivastava RK. *Fusarium oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici* causal agent of vascular wilt disease of tomato: Biology to diversity– A review. Saudi Journal of Biological Sciences. 2019; 26:1315–1324.
- Chang Y, DU B, Wang GL, Ji P, XIE Y, Li X, Li Z, Wang J. A study on the pathogen species and physiological races of tomato Fusarium wilt in Shanxi. China Journal of Integrative Agriculture. 2018;17(6):1380– 1390.
- 9. Cha JY, Han S, Hong HJ, Cho H, Kim D, *et al.* Microbial and biochemical basis of a Fusarium wilt suppressive soil. ISME Journal. 2016; 10:119–129.
- 10. Di X, Takken FLW, Tintor N. How phytohormones shape interactions between plants and the soil-borne fungus *Fusarium oxysporum.* Frontier of Plant Science. 2016; 7:170.
- Worku M, Sahe S. Review on disease management practice of tomato wilt caused *Fusarium oxysporum* in case of Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology. 2018; 9:460. DOI: 10.4172/2157-7471.1000460
- 12. Agrios GN. Plant pathology, 5th ed. Academic Press, Inc.: New York; 2005.
- Nirmaladevi D, Venkataramana M, Srivastava RK, Uppalapati SR, Gupta VK, Yli-Mattila T. Molecular phylogeny, pathogenicity and toxigenicity of *Fusarium* oxysporum f. sp. lycopersici. Scientific Report. 2016; 6:21367. DOI: 10.1038/srep21367
- Hibar KM, Daami-Remadi WH, El-Mahjoub M. Bio-fungicides as an alternative for tomato Fusarium crown and root rot control. Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection. 2006; 1:19–29.
- Adebayo OS, Ekpo EJA. Efficacy of fungal and bacterial biocontrol organisms for the control of *Fusarium* wilt of tomato. Proceedings of 22nd annual conference of the Horticultural Society of Nigeria (HORTSON) held at Ibadan, Olarewaju JD, Alegbejo, MD Showemimo FA. (eds.). 2004;112–116.
- 16. Pritesh P, Subramanian RB. PCR based method for testing Fusarium wilt resistance of tomato. African Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2011;3(5):222-230

- Srinon WK, Chuncheon K, Jirathiwaratkal K, Soytong K, Kanokredhakal. Efficacy of antagonistic fungus against Fusarium wilt disease of cucumber and tomato and the assay of its enzyme activity. Journal of Agricultural Technology. 2006;2(2):191–201.
- Horinouchi H, Katouyama, N, Taguchi Y, Kyakane M. Control of Fusarium crown and root rot of tomato in a soil system by combination of a plant growth promoting fungus, *Fusarium equiseti*, and biodegradable parts. Crop Protection. 2008; 27:859–864.
- 19. Katsube K, Akasaka Y. Control of Fusarium wilt of spinach by transplanting seedlings pretreated with non-pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum.* Japanese Journal of Phytopathology. 1997;63:389–394
- 20. Momol MT, Pernezny K. Florida plant disease management Guide: Tomato. University of Florida. 2003;3:53-63
- Muslim A, Horinouchi H, Hyakumachi M. Biological control of Fusarium wilt of tomato with hypovirulent binucleate *Rhizoctonia* in greenhouse conditions. MycoScience. 2003;44(2):77–84.
- Larkin RP, Hopkins DL, Martin FN. Suppression of Fusarium wilt of water melon by non-pathogenic *Fusarium* oxysporum and other organisms recovered from a disease-suppressive soil. Phytopathology. 1996; 86:812–819.
- Monda EO. Biological Control of Fusarium wilt of tomato. Botany Department, Kenyatta University, Kenya. Journal of Tropical Microbiology. 2002; 1:74-78.
- Hamed ER, Abdel-sayed MHF, Shehata S. Suppression of Fusarium wilt of water melon by biological and chemical control. Journal of Applied Sciences Research. 2009;5(10):1816–1825.
- Tsuda K, Kosaka Y, Tsuge S, Kubo Y, Horino O. Evaluation of the endophyte *Enterobactor cloacae* SM10 isolate from spinach roots for biological control against Fusarium wilt of spinach. Journal of General Plant Pathology. 2001; 67:78–84.
- 26. Ojha S, Chatterjee NC. Integrated management of fusarium wilt of tomato with implementation of soil solarization. Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Health. 2012;45(18):2143–2154.
- 27. Barea JM, Azcom R, Azcom-Aguilar, C. Mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria In: Plant Surface Microbiology. Varma A.; L. Abbott; D.

Werner and R. Hanapp (eds.). Springer-Verlag Herdley Germany. 2004;351–371.

- Hartman GE, Latorre, B, Agosin E, Martin RS, Riegel DG, Nielsen PA, Tronsmo A Pearson RS. Biological control and integrated control of Botrytis Bunch Rot of grape nine using *Trichorderma* spp. Biological Control. 1996; 7:259–266.
- 29. Di-pietro A, Madrid MP, Caracuel Z, Delgado-Jarana J, Ronceno MIG. *Fusarium ozysporum*: Exploring the molecular arsenal of a vascular fungus. Molecular Plant Pathology. 1993;4:315– 325.
- Singh DP, Shin YC, Park CS, Chung YR. Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt of cucumber by chitinolytic bacteria. Phytopathology. 1999; 89:92–99.
- Shishido M, Miwa C, Usami T, Amemisya Y, Johnson KB. Biological and efficiency of *Fusarium* wilt of tomato by non-pathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* FO-2 in different environments. Phytopathology. 2005; 95:1072–1080.
- Jayaraman S, Naorem AK, Lal R, Dalal RC, Sinha NK, Patra AK, Chaudhari SK. Disease-suppressive soils—beyond Food Production: A Critical Review. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2021;2-30.

Available:https://doi.org/10.1007/s42729-021-00451-x

- 33. Podile AR, Prasad GS, Dube HC. *Bacillus subtilis* as antagonist to vascular wilt pathogens. Current Sciences. 1985;54:864–865.
- Ramamoothy V, Raguchander T. Samiyappan R. Induction of systemic resistance by plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in crop plants against pests and diseases. Crop Protection 2001; 20:1– 11.
- 35. Silva JC, Bettiol W. Potential of nonpathogenic *Fusarium oxysporum* isolates for control of Fusarium wilt of tomato. Fitopatologia Brasileira. 2005;30:409–412.
- Rose S, Parker M, Punja ZK. Efficacy of biological and chemical techniques for the control of Fusarium root and stem rot on greenhouse cucumber. Plant Disease. 2003; 87:1462–1470.
- Kapor IJ, Kar B. Antagonistic effects of Soil Microbes *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *Lycopersici*. International Journal of Tropical Plant Disease. 1988; 6:257–262.
- 38. Srinivasan K, Garibaldi G, Garibaldi A, Gullino ML. Bacterial antagonists from

used rock wool soiless substrates suppress Fusarium wilt of tomato. Journal of Plant Pathology. 2009;91(1):147–154.

- Bananomi G, Antignani V, Pane C, Scala F. Suppression of soil borne fungal diseases with organic amendments. Journal of Plant Pathology. 2007; 89:311–324.
- Liu H, Xiong W, Zhang R. Hang X, Wang D, Li R, Shen Q. Continuous application of different organic additives can suppress tomato disease by inducing the healthy rhizospheric microbiota through alterations to the bulk soil microflora. Plant Soil. 2018; 423:229–240.

DOI: 10.1007/s11104-017-3504-6

- 41. Boyd-Wilson KSH, Walter M. Suppression of *Fusarium* wilt and seedlings blight by composted and uncomposted radiate pine bark. Australian Plant Pathology. 2002; 31:57–61.
- Cheuk W, Lo KV, Coperman R, Joliffee P, Fraser B. S. Disease suppression on glasshouse tomatoes using plant waste compost. Journal of Environmental Science and Health. 2005;40(30):449–461.
- 43. Termorshuizen AJ, van Rijn É, van der Gaag DJ, Alabouvette C, Chen Y, Lagerlöf J, Malandrakis AA, Paplomatas EJ, Rämert B, Ryckeboer J, Steinberg C, Zmora-Nahum S. Suppressiveness of 18 composts against 7 pathosystems: variability in pathogen response. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2007; 38:2461– 2477.
- 44. Pane C, Villecco D, Campanile F, Zaccardelli M. Novel strains of *Bacillus*, isolated from compost and compostamended soils, as biological control agents against soil-borne phytopathogenic fungi. Biocontrol in Science and Technology. 2012; 22:1373–1388.
- 45. Avilés M, Borrero C, Trillas MI. Review on compost as an inducer of disease suppression in plant grown in soilless culture. Dynamic Soil Dynamic Plant. 2011;5:1–11.
- Zhang J, Howell CR, Starr JL. Suppression of Fusarium colonization of cotton roots and Fusarium wilt by seed treatments with *Gliocladium virens* and *Bacillus subtilis*. Biocontrol Science and Technology. 1996; 6:175-188.
- 47. Loffredo E, Senesi N. *In vitro* and *in vivo* assessment of the potential of compost and its humic acid fraction to protect ornamental plants from soilborne

pathogenic fungi. Science and Horticulture. 2009; 122:432–439.

48. Lutz S, Thuerig B, Oberhaensli T, Mayerhofer J, Fuchs JG, Widmer F, Freimoser FM andAhrens CH. Harnessing the Microbiomes of suppressive composts for plant protection: From metagenomes to beneficial microorganisms and reliable diagnostics. Frontiers in Microbiology. 2020; 11:1810-1825.

DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.01810

- 49. Mehta CM, Palni U, Frane-Whittle IH, Sharma A. Compost: Its role, mechanism and impact on reducing soil-borne plant diseases. Waste Management. 2014;34 (3):607-622.
- 50. Haruna SG. Effect of vermicomposts on vegetative growth and yield characters of tomato infected with Fusarium wilt. ADAN Journal of Agriculture. 2021;2(1):90–103.
- 51. Haruna SG. Integrated Management of tomato wilt incited by *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* using host resistance and bio-enriched vermicompost. Nigerian Journal of Mycology. 2021; 13:176–192.
- 52. Albanell E, Plaixats J, Cabrero T. Chemical changes during vermicomposting (*Eisenia fetida*) of sheep manure mixed with cotton industrial wastes. Biology, Fertilizer and Soils. 1988; 6:266–269.
- Edwards CA, Burrows I. The potential of earthworm composts as plant growth media. In: Edwards CA, Neuhauser EF. (Eds.), Earthworms in environmental and waste management. SPB Academic Pubishers. B. V. The Netherlands. 1988;211–220.
- 54. Recycled organics unit. Aqueous compost extract (compost tea). Information Sheet No. 2007; 7:7–1.
- 55. Recycled organics unit. Recycled Organics industry dictionary & thesaurus. Standard terminology for the recycled organic industry; 2002.

Available:http://www.rolibrary.com

- 56. Ghorbani RA, Koocheki M, Jahan M, Asadi G.A. Effects of organic fertilizers and composts extracts on organic tomato production. Aspects of Applied Biology. 2006; 79:113–116.
- Divers S. Compost teas for plant disease control. National centre for appropriate technology, U.S. department of agriculture. AATRA Publication, Fayettevile, Arkansas; 1998.
- 58. Trankner A. Use of agricultural and municipal wastes to develop

suppressiveness to plant pathogens. In: Biological control of plant diseases: Progress and challenges for the future, Tjanos EG, Papaviros GC, Cook RJ. (eds.) Platinum Press, New York. 1992;38–42.

- 59. Yohalem DS, Nordhorn EY, Andrews TH. The effect of water extracts of spent mushroom compost on apple scab in the field. Phytopathology. 1994; 86:914–922.
- 60. Scheuerell SJ, Mahaffee WF. Compost tea: principles and properties for plant disease control. Compost Science and Utilization. 2002;10(4):313–338.
- 61. Scheuerell SJ, Mahaffee WF. Compost tea as a container medium disease for suppressing seedling damping-off caused by *Pythium ultimum*. Phytopathology. 2004; 94:1156–1163.
- Al-Dahmani JH, Abbasi PA, Miller SA, Hointink HAJ. Suppression of bacterial spot of tomato with Foliar sprays of compost extracts under screenhouse and field conditions. Plant Disease. 2003; 87:913–919.
- Hointink HAJ, Stone AG, Hans DY. Suppression of plant diseases by composts. Hort Science. 1997;32:184– 187.
- Kai H, Vedia T, Masaguchi M. Antimicrobial activity of bark compost extracts. Soil and biochemistry. 1990;22(7):983– 983.
- 65. Nakasone AK, Bettiol W, and de Souza RM. The effect of water extracts of organic matter on plant pathogens. Summa Phytopathology. 1999; 25:330–335.
- 66. Gurama AU, Adebitan SA, Haruna SG, Tijjani A, Dawakiji AY. Effect of compost extracts applied at different times of transplanting tomato seedlings on Fusarium wilt of Tomato. International Journal of Bioscience. 2013;3(12):1-8.
- 67. Basak AB, Lee MW, Lee TS. Inhibitive activity of cow urine and cow dung against *Sclero-tinia sclerotiorum* of cucumber. Microbiology. 2002;30(3):175-179.
- 68. Ashlesha J. Sugha SK, Cow dung preparations in the management of *Rhizoctonia solani.* Plant Disease Research. 2009; 24:30- 33.
- Sinha RK, Agarwal S, Chauhan K, Valani D. The wonders of earthworms and its vermicompost in farm production: Charse Darwin's friend of farmers' with potential to replace destructive chemical fertilizers from agriculture. Agricultural Science. 2010; 1:76–94.

- Sang MK, Kim JG, Kim KD. Biocontrol activity and induction of systemic resistance in pepper by compost water extracts against *Phytophthora capsici*. Phytopathology. 2010;100(8):774-783.
- 71. Sugha SK. Antifungal potential of panchagavya. Plant Disease. 2005; 20:156–158.
- 72. Dogra S. Antifungal potential of panchagavya against some soil borne pathogens M Sc. Thesis, Department of plant pathology, CSK Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Palampur, India. 2006;76.
- 73. Angus JF, Gardner PA, Kirkegaard JA, Desmarchelier JM. Bio- fumigation isothiocyanates released from brassica roots inhibit growth of the take-all fungus. Plant and Soil. 1994; 162:107-112.
- 74. Matthiessen JN, Kirkegaard JA. Biofumigation and enhanced biodegradation: pportunity and challenges in soilborne pest and disease management. Critical Review in Plant Sciences. 2006; 25:235–265.
- 75. Trindade H, Aries A. Biofumigation: the effect of intercropping green manure or compost in the soil on the control of agricultural pests and diseases. Agrotecnology. 2011; 1:36–37.
- Arnault I, Du Fretay G, Vey F. Tissier, A., Fleurance, C. and Auger, J. Soil fumigation with Allium sulphur volatiles and Allium byproducts. Proceedings, Second biofumigation conference, Moscow. 2006;26–29.
- Smolinska U, Kowalczyk W. The impact of the brassicaceae plant materials added to the soil on the population of *Fusarium* solani (Mart.) Sacc and *Fusarium* oxysporum. Journal of Horticultural Research. 2014;22(1):1–12.
- Gimsing AL, Kirkargard JA. Glucosinolates and biofumigation: Fate of glucosinolates and their hydrolysis products in soil. Phytochemistry Review. 2009; 8:299–310.
- Brown PD, Morra MJ. Control of soil-borne plant pests using glucosinolate- containing plants. Advance Agronomy. 1997; 61:167– 231.
- 80. Gouws R. Biofumigation: What is it, and what benefits can it add to existing farming systems? An international overview, CHIPS. 2004;43–44.
- 81. Van Dam N, Tytgat TG, Kirkegaard J. Root and shoot glucosinates: A comparison of their diversity, functions and interactions in

natural and managed ecosystems. Phtochemistry Review. 2009; 8:171–186.

- Arnault I, Fleurance C, Vey F, Du Fretay G, Augur J. Use of Alliaceae residues to control soil-borne pathogens, Industrial Crops and Products. 2013; 49:265–272.
- Karavina C, Mandumbu R. Biofumigation for crop protection: potential for adoption in Zimbabwe. Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences. 2012;14(3):1996–2005. Available: http://www.m.elewa.org/JAPS
- Balesh T, Zapata F, Aune JB. Evaluation of mustard meal as organic fertilizer on tef (Eragrostistef (Zucc) Ttrotter under field and greenhouse conditions. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems. 2005; 73:49– 57.
- Szczyglowska M, Piekarska A, Konieczka P, Namiesnik J. Use of *Brassica* plants in the phytoremidiation and biofumigation processes. International Journal of Molecular Sciences. 2011; 12:7760–7771.
- 86. Fahey JW, Zalcmann AT, Talalay P. The chemical diversity and distribution of glucosinolates and isothiocyanates among plants. Phytochemistry. 2001; 56:5–51.
- Arnault I, Vey F, Fleurance C, Nabil H, Auger J. Soil fumigation with *allium* sulfur volatiles and *allium* by-products. 16th IFOAM Organic World Congress, Modena, Italy. 2008;16–20.
- McGuire AM. Mustard green manure crops replace fumigant and improve infiltration in potato cropping system. Agroindustria. 2004; 3:331–333.
- Kumar P. Biofumigation, Concept notes and compilation of session guide on biofumigation. Inter-country Programme for Vegetable IPM in South and South-East Asia Phase II (FNPP/GLO/002/NET & GPC/RAS/191/AUL. Food and Agricultural Organisation of the United Nation Nations. 2005;34.
- 90. Cohen MF, Yamasaki H, Mazola M. Modification of microbial community structure, nitric oxide production and incidence of Rhizoctonia root rot in response to *Brassica napus* seed meal soil amendment. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2005; 37:1215-1227.
- 91. Haruna SG. Effect of soil amendments with bio-fumigant crops and animal manure on growth and yield of tomatoes infected with Fusarium wilt. Ife Journal of Agriculture. 2023;35(2):119–131.
- 92. Katan J, Greenberger A, Alon H, Grinstein A. Solar heating by polyethylene mulching

for the control of diseases caused by soilborne pathogens, Phytopathology. 1976; 66:683–688.

- Gruenzwieg JM, Rabinowitch HD, Katan J. Physiological and developmental aspects of increased plant growth in solarized soils. Annual Applied Biology. 1993; 122:579-591.
- 94. Stapleton JJ. Soil solarization in various agricultural production systems. Crop Protection. 2000; 19:837–841.
- 95. Raaijmakers JM, Paulitz TC, Steingberg C, Alabouvette C, Moenne-Loccoz Y. The rhizosphere: a playground and battle field for soilborne pathogens and beneficial microbes. Plant Soil. 2009; 321:341–361.
- 96. Siddiqi SA, Bajwa R, Khan SM, Jamil FF. Effect of single or double soil solarisation on density of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *ciceri in* Field. Pakistani Journal of Phytopatholog. 2011;23(1):78-85
- 97. Barakat RM, AL-Masri MI. (: Enhanced soil solarization against *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* in the Uplands. International Journal of Agronomy. 2012; 1:1-7.
- 98. Pokharel R, Hammon R. Increased of biofumigation efficacy by soil solarization and integrating with Brassica meal cake and poultry manure to manage soil-borne problem in onion. Report Submitted to EPA, PESP program. 2010:23.
- 99. Stapleton JJ, DeVay JE. Soil solarization: a non-chemical approach for management of plant pathogens and pests. Crop Protection. 1986; 5:190–198.
- Gelsomino L, Badalucco L, Cacco G. Soil carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus dynamics as affected by solarization alone or combined with organic amendment, Plant and Soil. 2006;279:(1 – 2):307–325.
- Gallo-Liobet L, Siverio F. Influence of soil solarization on *Phytophthora cinnamomi* rands in avocado (*Persea americana* MILL.). Proceedings of The World Avocado Congress III. 1995;400–403.
- 102. Berbegal M, García-Jiménez J, Armengol J. Effect of cauliflower residue amendments and soil solarization on Verticillium wilt control in artichoke. Plant Disease. 2008; 92:595–600.
- Chen YJ, Katan A, Gamliel TA, Schnitzer M. Involvement of soluble organic matter in increased plant growth in solarized soils. Biology and Fertility of Soils. 2000, 1: 28 – 34.

- 104. Fuentes P, Aballay E, Montealegro JR. Soil solarisation and fumigation for control of nematodes in a monocultivated soil with tomatoes. Fitopatologia. 1997;32(1):71-80
- 105. Mauromicale G, Antonino LM, Angela MG, Alessia R. Soil solarization, a non-chemical method to control branched bromrape (*Orabanche ramose*) and improve the yield of greenhouse tomato. Weed Science. 2005;53(6):877–883.
- 106. Eshel D, Gamliel A, Grinsteen A, Di-Primo P, Katan J. Combined soil treatments and sequence of application in improving the control of soilborne pathogens. Phytopathology. 2000; 90:751–757.
- 107. Lodha S, Israel S. Combining solar heat on farm wastes and summer irrigation for improved control of *Fusarium oxysporum* f .sp. *cumini. In*: Proceedings the VIth IS on chemical and non-chemical soil and substrate disinfestation. A Vacchter, (ed.) ACTA Horticulture, , ISHS SD. 2005;698.
- 108. Hussein AS. Comparative efficacy of unsolarised and solarised soil interacted with neem with neem cake and carbendazim amendments effect on *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* of tomato. European Academic Research. 2015;2(11):14917–14926.
- 109. Klein E, Katan J, Gamliel A. Soil suppresiveness to Fusarium disease Following organic amendments and solarisation. Plant Disease. 2011; 95:1116–1123.
- 110. Ploeg AT, Stapleton JJ. Glasshousen studies on the effects of time, tempreture and amendment of soil with broccoli residues on the infestation of Melon plants by *Meloidogyne incognita* and *Meloidogyne javanica*. Nematology. 2001;3(8):855–861.
- 111. Haruna SG, Tijjani A, Edun BT, Sheriff HH. Preliminary evaluation of the use of soil solarisation and organic amendments for managing Fusarium wilt of tomato, Savannah Journal of Agriculture. 2018;13(1):31–38.
- 112. Blok WJ, Lamers JG, Termorshuizen AJ, Bollen GJ. Control of soilborne plant pathogens by incorporating fresh organic amendments Followed by tarping. Phytopathology. 2000; 90:253–259. DOI: 10.1094/PHYTO.2000.90.3.253
- 113. Momma N, Yamamoto K, Simandi P, Shishido M. Role of organic acids in the mechanisms of biological soil disinfestation

(BSD). Journal of General Plant Pathology. 2006; 72:247–252.

DOI: 10.1007/s10327-006-0274-z

114. Swilling KJ, Shrestha, U, Ownley, BH, Gwinn KD, Butler DM. Mechanisms of anaerobic soil disinfestation: Volatile fatty acids reduce viability Athelia of (Sclerotium) rolfsii sclerotia in acidic soil conditions and have limited effects on endemic Trichoderma spp. Frontier in Food Systems. Sustainable 2021: 5:747176.

DOI: 10.3389/fsufs..747176

- 115. Mahadevan A. Biochemical aspects of plant disease resistance. In- Part I: Performed inhibitory substances: Today and Tomorrows Printers and Pub. New Delhi, India. 1982;425-431.
- 116. Coventry E, Allan EJ. Microbiological and chemical analysis of neem (Azadirachta indica) extracts: New data on antimicrobial activity, Phytoparasitica. 2002; 29:441– 450.
- 117. Kimaru SK, Waudo SW, Monda E, Seif AA, Birgen JK. Effect of neem kernel cake powder (NKCP) on *Fusarium* wilt of tomato when used as a soil amendment. Journal of Agriculture and Rural Development in tropics and subtropics. 2004;105(1):63–69.
- 118. Ghewande MP. Management of Foliar diseases of groundnut (*Arachis hypagaea*) using plant extracts. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 1989; 59:133-134.
- 119. Qasem JR, Abu-Balan HA. Fungicidal activity of some common weed extracts against different plant pathogenic fungi. Journal of Phytopathology. 1996; 144:157– 161.
- 120. Shivpuri A, Sharma OP, Thamaria S. Fungitoxic properties of plant extracts against pathogenic fungi. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 1997;27(1):29–31.
- 121. Sheu ZM, Wang TC. First Report of Race 2 of *Fusarium oxysporum f.* sp. *lycopersici*, the Causal Agent of Fusarium Wilt on Tomato in Taiwan. Plant Disease. 2006; 90(1):111.
- 122. Mwangi MW, Monda EO, Okoth SA, Jefwa JM. Inoculation of tomato seedlings with *Trichoderma harzianum* and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and their effect on growth and control of wilt in tomato. Brazilian Journal of Microbiology. 2011; 42:508–513.

- 123. Cerkauskas R. Tomato Diseases: Fusarium wilt AVRDC Fact Sheet Publication. 2005;05627.
- 124. Chotangui AH, Betigne MM, Marie SK, Nelly GF, Kouam EB. Effect of phyto-extracts of neem (*Azadirachta indica*) and garlic (*Allium sativum*) on leaf spot disease of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). Open Agriculture. 2020;5(1): 441-449. Available:https://doi.org/10.1515/opag-
- 2020-0035 125. Akkopru A, Demir S. Biological control of *Fusarium* wilt in tomato caused by *Fusarium oxysporum f.* sp. *lycopersici* by AMF *Glomus intraradices* and some Rhizobacteria. Journal of Phytopathology. 2005;153: 544–550.

DOI: 10.1111/j.1439 -0434.2005.01018.x

- 126. Amini J. Physiological races of *Fusarium oxysporum* f. sp. *lycopersici* in Kurdistan province of Iran and reaction of some tomato cultivars to race1 of the pathogen. Plant Pathology Journal. 2009; 8:68–73.
- 127. Agrios G N. Plant pathology, Third edition. Academic Press Inc. New York; 1988.
- Kimaru S K. Efficacy of neem kernel cake powder against *Fusarium* wilt of tomato, MSc. Thesis, Kenyatta University, Kenya; 1998.

- 129. Fang X, You MP, Barbetti MJ. Reduced severity and impact of Fusarium wilt on strawberry by manipulation of soil pH, soil organic amendments and crop rotation. Eur J Plant Pathol. 2012; 134:619–629. DOI: 10.1007/s10658-012-0042-1
- Owino PO, Waudo SW, Kuria M. Control of Fasarium wilt of tomatoes using soil amendments. East African Agricultural Journal. 1995;60(4):235-245.
- 131. Tahat MM, Alananbeh KM, Othman YA, Leskovar DL. Soil health and sustainable agriculture. Sustainability. 2020; 12:4859– 4885.

DOI: 10.3390/su1212485

- 132. Waiganjo MM, Wabule NM, Nyongesa D, Kibaki JM, Onyango I, Webukhulu SB, Muthoka NM. Tomato production in Kirinyaga District, Kenya. A baseline survey report, KARI/IPM-CRSP Collaborative project; 2006.
- Recycled organics unit. Compost use for pest and disease suppression in NSW. ROU Recycled Organics Unit; 2006. Available: www.recycledorganics.com. [Accessed on 23rd August, 2023]
- Singh F, Hooda J, Sindhan GS. Biological control of tomato wilt caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* f.sp. *lycopersici*. Journal of Mycology and Plant Pathology. 2004; 34(2):568-570.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/106586