



A Literature Review: Adjunctive Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors to Variceal Band Ligation in Cirrhotic Patients

Samar Mohamed Osman Ahmed Musa ^{a,b,++*}
and Moawia El Balal Mohamed ^{c#}

^a *University of Khartoum, Saudi Arabia.*

^b *Department of Clinical Pharmacy, University of Gezira, Saudi Arabia.*

^c *Faculty of Medicine, University of Gezira, Saudi Arabia.*

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102990>

Mini-review Article

Received: 25/06/2023

Accepted: 29/08/2023

Published: 06/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Introduction: This review focuses on the effect of Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), acid-suppressive agents, combined with ligation to manage rebleeding ulcers in cirrhotic patients.

Methods: Data reviewed during approximately the last 20 years combining different studies concerning the use of antacids in Variceal Cirrhotic Patients.

Result: Data showed different results, with most supporting the role of PPIs in this field.

Conclusion: PPIs used as adjunctive to EVL in cirrhotic patients for either prophylaxis or treatment of bleeding ulcers following ligation showed successful results and a good impact on general health and should be tried on those patients to improve their care.

⁺⁺MCP, Board Certified Pharmacotherapy Specialist;

[#]MD, Professor of Medicine;

^{*}Corresponding author: Email: samarmusa78@gmail.com;

Keywords: Acid suppression; ligation ulcer; PPI; varies.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many recent studies have focused on using Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs), acid-suppressive agents, combined with ligation to manage rebleeding ulcers in cirrhotic patients. Data reviewed during approximately 20 years showed different results, with most supporting their role in this field.

Up to 50 % of cirrhotic patients may suffer gastroesophageal varices with a chance of 20% developing a life-threatening acute hemorrhage in advanced cases[1].Variceal band ligation is an effective, simple, and relatively safe technique used to treat esophageal varices in patients with cirrhosis. It is also a good treatment option for the prevention of variceal rebleeding recurrence, especially for patients who are intolerant, bled, or contraindicated to β -blockers treatment prophylaxis [2].

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) are the most potent pharmacological agents for the inhibition of gastric acid secretion. Their use in peptic ulcer disease and general upper gastrointestinal diseases improves disease conditions due to their faster onset of action, increased healing rates, and greater symptom relief compared with ranitidine and placebo. This is why it is considered the first choice for gastric ulcer treatment [3].

In Canada Proton pump inhibitors administered intravenously after endoscopic treatment of peptic ulcers significantly reduce the recurrence of bleeding with a high likelihood of cost-effectiveness (costs of treatment, surgical procedures, and hospitalizations). Intravenous proton pump inhibitors are considered standard practice for all upper gastrointestinal bleeding patients [4].

The efficacy of pantoprazole, a proton pump inhibitor as an adjunct to elective esophageal ligation was assessed to decrease the risk of variceal hemorrhage.

2. METHODS

A double-blinded, randomized, controlled trial was conducted for elective band ligation subjects. Those with a history of prior variceal hemorrhage, ages 18 to 80 were enrolled. Ongoing users of any anti-acid agent with pre-

existing esophageal ulcers, confirmed endoscopically were excluded. The number of bands placed on both arms showed no significant difference. 10 days of treatment were assigned to both arms of the study. 40mg Intravenous pantoprazole preceded by an oral 40 mg daily course was administered with the same placebo treatment to the control arm. The size and number of banding ulcers were measured in the follow-up endoscopy.

3. RESULTS

The overall number of ulcers in the pantoprazole arm did not differ from those in the control group, but the size of ulcers significantly decreased. complete healing of ulcers may need a longer time between the two endoscopies since the risk of recurrent hemorrhage needs 7 to 14 days between sessions to decrease to the optimal limit [5–7]. The conclusion was that Pantoprazole significantly reduced the size of post-banding ulcers (50%) on follow-up endoscopy compared to subjects who received a placebo. While the total ulcer number and patient symptoms showed no difference [8].

A meta-analysis was conducted to assess the association between PPI use in cirrhotic patients and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis SBP development. The observational studies included ended up with inconsistent results. It concludes that There is a potential association that needs further studies to be clarified and PPIs should be used only when clearly indicated [9].

This study was followed by another one evaluating the efficacy of endoscopic variceal ligation combined with proton pump inhibitors infusion (either omeprazole or pantoprazole) compared to a combination of EVL with vasoconstrictor infusion after managing acute variceal bleeding. The conclusion was that the PPI side is similar in terms of initial hemostasis, and rate of very early rebleeding with the benefit of fewer adverse events [10].

Another randomized, controlled trial was performed on elective EVL patients assessing the efficacy of rabeprazole, a PPI, on treatment failure defined as either hemorrhage from varices or severe medical complications. It concludes that Acid suppression therapy should be

considered after EVL since it reduces the risk of treatment failure [11].

The efficacy and safety of proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) in gastroesophageal varices (GEVs) were checked by running a systematic review of 20 studies. Results supported the use of short-course (10 days) of PPI post-EVL since it reduces ulcer size and discourages prolonged use and high-dose infusion till proven by evidenced data [12].

Then a study was conducted investigating the general treatment of PPIs in cirrhotic patients related to overall survival. They concluded that PPI use is an independent risk factor for mortality, despite that, a causative role is not found [13].

After that liver cirrhotic patients who underwent elective EVL for primary prophylaxis of variceal bleeding were enrolled in a study. The occurrence of bleeding post-EVL is the primary endpoint. The conclusion was that it is important to start PPI therapy as soon as possible after EVL since not starting PPI is the sole risk factor for post-EVL bleeding [14].

Also, a retrospective cohort study assessing the effect of PPI as part of acid suppression therapy (histamine-2 receptor antagonists were also tried) combined with EVL and vasoconstrictor, in rebleeding cirrhotic patients compared to EVL and vasoconstrictor alone, was carried out evaluating rebleeding and mortality rates. The results said that their role as an adjuvant to normal EVL plus vasoconstrictor therapy may not change the rebleeding and mortality rates [15].

This recent meta-analysis study reviewed the efficacy and safety of proton pump inhibitors as treatment or prophylaxis on post-band ligation ulcers in cirrhotic patients with gastroesophageal varices (GEVs). Pantoprazole, Rabeprazole, or Omeprazole (the most commonly used) were included. The conclusion is that the risk of bleeding after ligation is reduced by twofold, while the risk of bleeding-related death is reduced by threefold [16].

4. DISCUSSION

Although Elective variceal band ligation is clinically confirmed practice for decreasing subsequent variceal hemorrhage [17]. It is

associated with ulceration pain, dysphagia, and odynophagia side effects [18–21].

5. CONCLUSION

PPIs used as adjunctive to EVL in cirrhotic patients for either prophylaxis or treatment of bleeding ulcers following ligation show successful results and a good impact on general health and should be tried on those patients to improve their care.

CONSENT

It is not applicable.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

It is not applicable.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Zhu J, Qi X, H Yu, C Su, X Guo, Acid suppression in patients treated with endoscopic therapy for the management of gastroesophageal varices: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Expert Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2018;12:617–624. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1080/17474124.2018.1456918>.
2. Garcia-Pagán JC, Bosch J. Endoscopic band ligation in the treatment of portal hypertension, *Nat Clin Pract Gastroenterol Hepatol*; 2005. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1038/ncpgasth.ep0323>
3. Gastroenterology B, Salas M, Ward A, Caro J. BMC Gastroenterology Are proton pump inhibitors the first choice for acute treatment of gastric ulcers? A Meta Analysis of Randomized Clinical Trials; 2002. Available:<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-230X/2/17>
4. Enns RA, Gagnon YM, Rioux KP, Levy AR. Cost-effectiveness in Canada of intravenous proton pump inhibitors for all patients presenting with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding, *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2003;17:225–233. Available:<https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2036.2003.01412.x>

5. Tripathi D, Stanley AJ, Hayes PC, Patch D, Millson C, Mehrzad H, et al. UK guidelines on the management of variceal haemorrhage in cirrhotic patients, *Gut*. 2015;64:1680–1704. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2015-309262>
6. Endoscopic sclerotherapy versus variceal ligation in the long-term management of patients with cirrhosis after variceal bleeding. A prospective randomized study - PubMed, (n.d.). Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9186834/> (Accessed August 25, 2023).
7. Blaisdell PC. Office ligation of internal hemorrhoids, *The American Journal of Surgery*. 1958;96:401–404. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9610\(58\)90933-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9610(58)90933-4)
8. Shaheen NJ, Stuart E, Schmitz SM, Mitchell KL, Fried MW, Zacks S, et al. Pantoprazole reduces the size of postbanding ulcers after variceal band ligation: A randomized, controlled trial, *Hepatology*. 2005;41:588–594. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1002/hep.20593>
9. Trikudanathan G, Israel J, Cappa J, O'Sullivan DM. Association between proton pump inhibitors and spontaneous bacterial peritonitis in cirrhotic patients - A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Int J Clin Pract*. 2011;65:674–678. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1742-1241.2011.02650.x>
10. Lo GH, Perng DS, Chang CY, Tai CM, Wang HM, Lin HC. Controlled trial of ligation plus vasoconstrictor versus proton pump inhibitor in the control of acute esophageal variceal bleeding, *Journal of Gastroenterology and Hepatology (Australia)*. 2013;28:684–689. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/jgh.12107>
11. Hidaka H, Nakazawa T, Wang G, Kokubu S, Minamino T, Takada J, et al. Long-term administration of PPI reduces treatment failures after esophageal variceal band ligation: A randomized, controlled trial, *J Gastroenterol*. 2012;47: 118–126. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00535-011-0472-0>
12. Lo EAG, Wilby KJ, Ensom MHH. Use of Proton Pump Inhibitors in the Management of Gastroesophageal Varices: A Systematic Review, *Annals of Pharmacotherapy*. 2015;49:207–219. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028014559244>
13. Dultz G, Piiper A, Zeuzem S, Kronenberger B, Waidmann O. Proton pump inhibitor treatment is associated with the severity of liver disease and increased mortality in patients with cirrhosis, *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2015;41:459–466. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.13061>
14. Kang SH, Yim HJ, Kim SY, Suh SJ, Hyun JJ, Jung SW, et al. Proton pump inhibitor therapy is associated with reduction of early bleeding risk after prophylactic endoscopic variceal band ligation a retrospective cohort study, *Medicine (United States)*. 2016;95:1–9. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000002903>
15. Wu CK, Liang CM, Hsu CN, Hung TH, Yuan LT, Nguang SH, et al. The role of adjuvant acid suppression on the outcomes of bleeding esophageal varices after endoscopic variceal ligation, *PLoS One*. 2017;12:1–15. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169884>
16. Elseidy SA, Sayed A, Awad AK, Mandal D, Mostafa M, Adigun A, et al. PPI efficacy in the reduction of variceal bleeding incidence and mortality, a meta-analysis, *Egypt J Intern Med*; 2022. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s43162-022-00156-2>
17. Dai C, Liu WX, Jiang M, Sun MJ. Endoscopic variceal ligation compared with endoscopic injection sclerotherapy for treatment of esophageal variceal hemorrhage: A meta-analysis, *World J Gastroenterol*. 2015;21. Available: <https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v21.i8.2534>
18. Schoonbroodt D, Zipf A, Jung M. Local necrosis and fatal perforation of oesophagus after endoscopic ligation, *Lancet*. 1994;344:1365. Available: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(94\)90723-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(94)90723-4)
19. Gross and microscopic findings in the human esophagus after esophageal variceal band ligation: A postmortem analysis - PubMed, (n.d.). Available: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8424432/> (Accessed August 25, 2023).

20. Toyoda H, Fukuda Y, Katano Y, Ebata M, Nagano KI, Morita K, et al. Fatal bleeding from a residual vein at the esophageal ulcer base after successful endoscopic variceal ligation, J Clin Gastroenterol. 2001;32:158–160.
Available:<https://doi.org/10.1097/00004836-200102000-00014>
21. Symptomatic esophageal stricture after endoscopic variceal ligation--success of endoscopic balloon dilation - PubMed, (n.d.).
Available:<https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10677926/>
(Accessed August 25, 2023).

© 2023 Musa and Mohamed; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/102990>