



Evaluation of Silage Quality of Forage Maize (*Zea mays* L.) and Sweet Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) Varieties at Different Phenophases

E. Pooja Sree^{a++*}, R. V. T. Balazzii Naaiik^{b#}, K. Bhanu Rekha^{at} and T. Sukruth Kumar^{b‡}

^a College of Agriculture, Professor Jayashankar Telangana State Agricultural University, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-030, Telangana, India.

^b AICRP on Forage Crops and Utilization, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad-030, Telangana, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i102898

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105824>

Original Research Article

Received: 21/06/2023

Accepted: 26/08/2023

Published: 05/09/2023

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the green fodder production potential of forage maize and sweet sorghum varieties at different phenophases.

Experiment Design: Factorial Randomized Block Design (FRBD).

Place and Duration of Study: AICRP on Forage Crops and Utilization, Agricultural Research Institute. Duration from July 2022 to Nov 2022.

⁺⁺ M.Sc. (Agronomy);

[#] Principal scientist (Agronomy);

[†] Associate Professor (Agronomy);

[‡] Senior Scientist (Soil Science & Agricultural Chemistry);

*Corresponding author: E-mail: poojaerapuram@gmail.com;

Methodology: Field experiment was conducted at AICRP on Forage Crops and Utilization, Agricultural Research Institute (ARI), Rajendranagar, Hyderabad during *kharif* 2022. The treatments consisted of four maize varieties (African tall, J –1006, TSFM 15-5 and DHM-117) and two sweet sorghum varieties (CSH-22SS and CSV-49SS) and three harvest stages (S₁: Milky stage, S₂: Soft dough stage and S₃: Dent stage) laid out in randomized block design with factorial concept with two factors. Factor (A) as six varieties and factor (B) as three harvest stages (phenophases) with three replications. Texture of the soil was sandy loam with the pH of 7.0, low in available nitrogen (199.3 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorous (39.12 kg ha⁻¹) and potassium (195.30 kg ha⁻¹).

Results: Fodder maize variety African tall recorded significantly higher plant height (265.8 cm) at dent stage, leaf-stem ratio (0.36) at milky stage, green fodder yield (438 q ha⁻¹) at soft dough stage and dry fodder yield (122.7 q ha⁻¹) at dent stage of harvest. while J-1006 recorded significantly highest dry matter content (29.8%) at the dent stage of harvest. CSH 22SS recorded significantly higher crude protein content (10%) in the milky stage.

Conclusion: African tall variety has recorded significantly higher green fodder yield (438 q ha⁻¹) at soft dough stage and dry fodder yield at the dent stage (122.7 q ha⁻¹).

Keywords: Forage maize; fodder yield; factorial randomized block design; green fodder.

1. INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) holds prime position as a cereal crop. In India, maize is used as a feed crop due to its expanding demand, especially with the growth of dairy, poultry, and maize-related industries [1]. This versatile crop is being used more extensively as animal feed and fodder, suitable for both green forage and silage. It has considerable production potential, broad adaptability and diverse applications [2]. It serves as a dual-purpose crop, yielding both grain and fodder in India [3]. Forage maize exhibits rapid growth, succulent and sweet in nature, high yielding, nutrient rich and has no harmful chemical substances, thus making it a safe option for animal feed at any growth stage [4]. Green fodder from maize offers ample energy and protein for animal growth and milk production [5]. The significance of corn as a feed for animals and poultry lies in its higher net energy content and lower fiber content.

Sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench), C₄ crop has adaptability to a wide range of climatic conditions. Sweet sorghum accumulates high amount of sugar in the stem and has vast use as a bio-energy crop [6] It has high amount of water-soluble carbohydrates. Due to the high protein and high fiber content sweet sorghum is widely used as forage and also as a silage for ruminants [7,8]. This characteristic holds the potential to enhance the quality of ensiled forage by expediting the production of lactic acid. Consequently, the cultivation of sweet sorghum as a silage crop offers promising advantages, positioning it as a viable contender to corn

cultivation especially in regions characterized by abundant rainfall.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during *kharif* season 2022 at AICRP on Forage Crops and Utilization, Agricultural Research Institute, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad, Telangana, India. Experiment is designed with the objective of evaluating the green fodder production potential of forage maize (*Zea mays*) and sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench) varieties at different phenophases. The experiment was laid out in Factorial randomized block design (FRBD) with two factors. The soil was sandy loam with low nitrogen content, medium phosphorous and potassium contents. The pH of soil was neutral (7.0). The experiment consisted of two factors and 18 treatments (Factor A: six varieties: V₁: African tall, V₂: J –1006, V₃: TSFM 15-5 and V₄: DHM-117, V₅: CSH 22SS, V₆: CSV 49SS) and (Factor B: 3 Stages: S₁: Milky stage, S₂: Soft dough stage and S₃: Dent stage) with three replications. Nitrogen was applied in three splits in the form of urea (60 N kg ha⁻¹ basal; 30 kg N ha⁻¹ 30 DAS; 30 kg N ha⁻¹ 60 DAS), Phosphorous (40 kg P₂O₅ ha⁻¹) and potassium (30 kg K₂O ha⁻¹) as basal application. The crop was sown on 25th July, 2022 at inter row spacing of 30 cm and harvested at three stages (Milky, Soft dough and Dent stage). Five plants were randomly selected and labelled in each net plot for recording the experimental observations (growth and yield parameters). The samples were shade dried for two to three days before oven drying (60°C) to attain constant weight. On

weight basis green fodder yield was converted into dry fodder yield (qha^{-1}) and dry matter content (%) is calculated. The soil analysis and fodder quality parameters were analyzed following standard procedures. Data obtained was statistically analyzed as mentioned by Gomez and Gomez. [9].

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Yield Attributes

3.1.1 Varieties

Among six fodder varieties tested (Four fodder maize varieties: African tall, J-1006, TSFM 15-5, DHM-117 and two sweet sorghum varieties: CSH 22SS, CSV 49SS), yield attributes and green fodder yield of all the varieties varied significantly across the treatments (Table 1). The fodder maize variety African tall has recorded significantly higher plant height (228.8 cm), Leaf-stem ratio (0.36), green fodder yield (417.7 q ha^{-1}) and dry fodder yield (103.1 qha^{-1}). The variety J-1006 recorded significantly higher dry matter content (25.6%) followed by African tall (24.6%) while, CSH 22SS (24.4%) was on par with African tall (24.6%) in terms of dry matter content (Table 2). With respect to the crude protein content CSH 22SS (8.4%) recorded significantly higher crude protein content followed by CSV 49SS (8.0%). On the other hand, no significance differences were observed between African tall (6.7%) and J-1006 (6.7%) in terms of crude protein.

With respect to Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF%) CSH 22SS has recorded significantly higher content ADF (38.1%) whereas, African tall (37.9%) and CSV 49SS (37.8%) were on par with CSH 22SS. The variety CSH 22SS (66.5%) had significantly higher Neutral Detergent Fiber NDF% and CSV 49SS (64.7%) was on par with CSH 22SS (Table 1) These significant differences among varieties were due to genetical variations.

3.2 Phenophases (Stages)

Among three stages of harvest, dent stage recorded significantly higher plant height (235.2 cm), dry matter content (27.6%), dry fodder yield (109.3%) and ADF (35.6%). There were significant differences among phenophases (milky stage, soft dough stage and dent stage) in terms of plant height, dry matter, dry fodder yield and ADF % but with respect to leaf: stem ratio

significantly higher ratio was found at milky stage (0.33) followed by soft dough stage (0.28) and dent stage (0.21) respectively. Similarly, crude protein also followed the same trend (milky stage (8.0%), soft dough stage (7.0%) and dent stage (6.3%).

In terms of green fodder yield, soft dough stage recorded significantly higher green fodder yield (409.3 q ha^{-1}) followed by dent stage (397 q ha^{-1}) and milky stage (373 q ha^{-1}) respectively (Table 2). These differences were due to physiological and morphological changes occurring with crop maturity as the crop advances towards maturity, moisture content reduces and dry portion of the plant increases reflecting in higher dry matter content, ADF%, and dry fodder yield. Decrease in the leaf portion was due to foliage drying and increase in the stem portion with crop advancement reflects the lower Leaf: stem ratio towards maturity. There was a non-significant result in terms of NDF%.

3.3 Interaction Effect

The interaction among the varieties and stages was found to be significant in terms of plant height, leaf-stem ratio, dry matter %, green fodder yield, dry matter yield and crude protein %. While the interaction was found to be non-significant with respect to ADF % and NDF % (Table 1).

3.3.1 Plant height

At all the three harvest stages of harvest (S_1 : Milky stage, S_2 : Soft dough stage and S_3 : Dent stage) variety African tall recorded the significantly higher plant height (S_1 : 194.6 cm, S_2 : 225.9cm, S_3 : 265.8 cm) and J-1006 (262.2 cm) was on par with African tall at dent stage (S_3). While, the lowest plant height was recorded by TSFM 15-5 (136.3) at the milky stage. These results corroborate with the findings of Digvijay Singh *et al.* (2020).

3.3.2 Leaf-stem ratio

The Leaf-stem ratio of African tall was significantly higher at all the three stages (S_1 : 0.40, S_2 : 0.38, S_3 : 0.29). L:S ratio of J-1006 was on par with African tall at the milky and soft dough harvest stages (S_1 : 0.40, S_2 : 0.32 respectively). While, at the dent stage DHM-117 (0.26) was on par with African tall (Table 1) Superiority of African tall variety was due to wide and thick and heavier foliage a specific genetical

character as compared to other varieties. As the crop advanced leaf portion gets decreased and increases the stem portion reflected in lower Leaf-stem ratio at dent stage of harvest as compared to the milky and soft dough stage. These results are in line with the findings of Ginwal et al. [10] and Rathod et al. [11].

3.3.3 Dry matter content (%)

At the milky stage (S_1) variety CSH 22SS (22.9%) recorded significantly higher dry matter content and J-1006 (22.1%), TSFM15-5 (22.0%), CSV 49SS (22.4 %) were on par with CSH 22SS. Variety DHM-117 was significantly inferior to rest of the varieties (Table 1).

At the soft dough stage (S_2) and dent stages (S_3) J-1006 had recorded significantly higher dry matter (24.9% and 29.8% respectively) and rest of the varieties were on par with J-1006. Significantly lower dry matter was observed with CSV 49SS (22.4%).

At the dent stage of harvest J-1006 (29.8%) recorded significantly higher dry matter % and African tall (28.8 %) was on par with J-1006. With the crop advancement towards maturity dry matter content of the plant increased due to decreased moisture content in plant reflects increases dry matter with maturity. Similar findings were reported by Ayub et al. [12], Ayub et al. [13], Filho et al. [14] and Chattha et al. [15].

3.3.4 Green fodder yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$)

At the milky stage (S_1), CSH 22SS ($396\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher green fodder yield and African tall ($389\ q\ ha^{-1}$) was on par with CSH 22SS. On the other hand, significantly lowest green fodder yield was recorded by J-1006 ($334\ q\ ha^{-1}$) (Table 2).

At the soft dough stage, African tall ($438\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher green fodder yield and TSFM 15-5 ($431\ q\ ha^{-1}$) was on par with African tall and J-1006 ($379\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly lower green fodder yield.

At the dent stage (S_3), African tall ($426\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher green fodder yield and CSV 49SS ($410\ q\ ha^{-1}$) and was on par with African tall. TSFM 15-5 ($395\ q\ ha^{-1}$) and CSH 22SS ($399\ q\ ha^{-1}$) were on par with each other. Variety J-1006 ($371\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly low green fodder yield. African tall recorded significantly higher green fodder yield due to

significantly higher plant height, stem girth, number of leaves plant⁻¹ (Singh et al. 2020).

3.3.4 Dry fodder yield ($q\ ha^{-1}$)

At the milky stage, CSH 22SS ($90.7\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher dry fodder yield and CSV 49SS ($84.6\ q\ ha^{-1}$) was on par with CSH 22SS. J-1006 ($73.8\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly lower dry fodder yield (Table 3).

At the soft dough stage, African tall ($104.7\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher dry fodder yield. Varieties TSFM 15-5 ($103.4\ q\ ha^{-1}$), CSH 22SS ($99.5\ q\ ha^{-1}$), CSV 49SS ($99.7\ q\ ha^{-1}$) were on par with African tall. DHM-117 ($92.9\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly lower dry fodder yield.

At the dent stage, African tall ($122.7\ q\ ha^{-1}$) recorded significantly higher dry fodder yield and variety CSH 22SS recorded significantly lower dry fodder yield. ($101.7\ q\ ha^{-1}$). As the maturity advances dry matter content of the plant increases due to decreasing trend of moisture content in plant increasing trend of dry matter yield with maturity. Similar findings were reported by Ayub et al. [12].

3.3.6 Crude protein content (%)

At the milky stage, CSH 22SS recorded significantly higher crude protein content (10%) while, J-1006 (7.3%) and DHM-117 (7.3%) were statistically comparable with each other while the lowest crude protein content was recorded by TSFM 15-5 (7.0%) (Table 1).

At the soft dough stage, CSH 22SS (8.2%) recorded significantly higher crude protein content. Variety CSV 49SS (7.9%) was on par with CSH 22SS. Significantly lower crude protein content was recorded by TSFM 15-5 (6.2%) and DHM-117 (6.2%).

At the Dent stage, CSH 22SS and CSV 49SS recorded significantly higher and statistically comparable crude protein content (6.2%). Significantly lower crude protein content was recorded by J-1006 (5.8%). During the initial reproductive phase, there will be accumulation of crude protein in various plant parts due to active photosynthetic rate to supply nutrients to the reproductive structures and gradually with the ontogeny of the crop protein content will be reduced due to its translocation to grains [16,15,17].

Table 1. Effect of different varieties and harvest stages on growth parameters fodder yield and quality parameters of fodder maize and sweet sorghum

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Leaf-stem ratio	DM%	GFY (q ha ¹)	DFY (q ha ¹)	CP%	ADF%	NDF%
Varieties (V)								
African tall	228.8	0.36	24.6	417.7	103.1	6.7	37.9	61.3
J-1006	220.8	0.32	25.6	361.3	92.78	6.7	31.7	58.8
TSFM 15-5	183.1	0.30	24.9	399.6	99.48	6.4	30.6	56.8
DHM-117	184.1	0.30	23.8	383.0	91.10	6.5	31.3	56.7
CSH 22SS	186.5	0.18	24.4	398.7	97.29	8.4	38.1	66.5
CSV 49SS	204.6	0.16	23.9	400.0	95.77	8.0	37.8	64.7
S Em±	1.9	0.003	0.2	3.3	1.2	0.06	0.2	0.7
CD(P=0.05)	5.5	0.008	0.6	9.6	3.5	0.19	0.8	2.1
Phenophases (P)								
Milky stage	165.9	0.33	21.8	373.8	81.41	8.0	33.6	60.0
Soft dough stage	202.8	0.28	24.2	409.3	99.03	7.0	34.6	60.8
Dent stage	235.2	0.21	27.6	397.0	109.3	6.3	35.6	61.6
S Em±	1.4	0.002	0.1	2.3	0.8	0.04	0.2	0.5
CD(P=0.05)	3.9	0.005	0.4	6.8	2.5	0.13	0.5	NS
Interaction (V×P)								
S Em±	3.3	0.005	0.4	5.7	2.1	0.11	0.4	1.2
CD(P=0.05)	9.6	0.013	1.1	16.7	6.1	0.33	NS	NS

DM: Dry matter
 GFY: Green fodder yield
 DFY: Dry fodder yield
 CP: Crude protein
 ADF: Acid detergent fiber
 NDF: Neutral detergent fiber

Table 2. Interaction effect of different varieties and harvest stages on green fodder yield of fodder maize and sweet sorghum

Varieties (V)	Green fodder yield (q ha ⁻¹)			
	Phenophases (P)			
	Milky stage	Soft dough stage	Dent stage	Mean
African Tall	389.0	438.0	426.0	417.7
J-1006	334.0	379.0	371.0	361.3
TSFM 15-5	373.0	431.0	395.0	399.6
DHM-117	373.0	395.0	381.0	383.0
CSH 22SS	396.0	401.0	399.0	398.7
CSV49SS	378.0	412.0	410.0	400.0
Mean	373.8	409.3	397.0	
(V ×P)	S Em±			5.7
	CD (P=0.05)			16.7

Table 3. Interaction effect of different varieties and harvest stages on dry fodder yield of fodder maize and sweet sorghum

Varieties (V)	Dry fodder yield (q ha ⁻¹)			
	Phenophases (P)			
	Milky stage	Soft dough stage	Dent stage	Mean
African Tall	82.0	104.7	122.7	103.1
J-1006	73.8	94.0	110.5	92.8
TSFM 15-5	82.0	103.4	113.0	99.5
DHM-117	75.3	92.9	105.2	91.1
CSH 22SS	90.7	99.5	101.7	97.3
CSV49SS	84.6	99.7	103.0	95.8
Mean	81.4	99.0	109.3	
(V xP)	S Em±			2.1
	CD (P=0.05)			6.1

3.3.7 Acid Detergent Fiber (%) and Neutral Detergent Fiber (%)

There were no significant differences among varieties and phenophases in terms of ADF% and NDF% [18,19].

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the research results of the present study it can be concluded that forage maize variety African tall has recorded significantly higher growth, quality parameters, green fodder yield (438 q ha⁻¹) at soft dough stage and dry fodder yield (122.7 q ha⁻¹) at dent stages respectively.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Ahmed S, Malaviya AB, Majumdar AB. Genetic divergence and variability in fodder maize. *Forage Research*. 2010;35:223-226.
- Gour V, Patel PC, Patel MR, Patel NN. Effect of sowing date and harvesting stage on forage yield and quality of maize. *Forage Research*. 2006;31:267-268.
- Mahdi SS, Hasan B, Bhat RA, Aziz MA. Yield and economics of fodder maize as influenced by nitrogen and seed rate and zinc under temperate conditions. *Forage Research*. 2010;210(36):22-25.
- Devi LG. Forage yield of maize (*Zea mays L.*) as influenced by nitrogen levels and biofertilizers. *Forage Research*. 2002;27:263-266.
- Takawale PS, Desale JS, Kauthale VK. Assessment of unexploited maize (*Zea mays L.*) germplasm and its utilization in heterosis for forage traits. *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant breeding*. 2009;69:159-161.
- Mullet J, Morishige D, McCormick R, Truong S, Hilley J, McKinley B, Anderson R, Olson SN, Rooney W.. Energy Sorghum - a genetic model for the design of C4 grass bioenergy crops. *Journal of Experimental Botany*. 2014;65(13):3479-3489.
- Bakari H, Djomdi, Ruben ZF, Roger DD, Cedric D, Guillaume P, Pascal D, Philippe M, Gwendoline C. Sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor L. Moench*) and Its Main Parts (By-Products) as Promising Sustainable Sources of Value-Added Ingredients. *Waste and Biomass Valorization*. 2023;14(4):1023-44.
- Lucena NT, Santos EM, Perazzo AF, de Oliveira JS, Macêdo AJ, Pereira DM, Cruz GF, Pereira GA, Ramos RC, Nogueira MD. Agronomic features and evaluation of forage sorghum silage as a function of nitrogen fertilisation in humid and mesothermal climate. *New Zealand Journal of Agricultural Research*. 2023;4:66(2):113-27.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA. *Statistical procedures for agricultural research* (2 Ed.). John Wiley and Sons, New York.1984;680.
- Ginwal Devendra Kumar, Ram Hardev, Dutta Susanta, Arjun Mallik, Hindoriya Phool. Fodder productivity and profitability of different maize and legume

- intercropping systems. Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 2019;89:1451-1455.
11. Rathod SD, Shinde GC, Shinde SD. Genetic variability and path coefficient analysis studies in forage maize genotypes (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry. 2021;10(1):2764-2768.
 12. Ayub MM, Nadeem A, Tanveer A, Husnain A. Effect of and quality of sorghum fodder different levels of nitrogen and harvesting times on the growth, yield. Asian Journal of Plant Sciences. 2002;1:304-07.
 13. Ayub M, Nadeem MA, Tahir M, Ibrahim M, Aslam MN. Effect of nitrogen application and harvesting intervals on forage yield and quality of pearl millet (*Pennisetum americanum* L.). Pakistan Journal of Life and Social Sciences. 2009;10(2):185-89.
 14. Filho AXS, Pinho RGV, Pereira JLAR, Reis MC, Rezende AV, Mata DC. Influence of stage of maturity on bromatological quality of corn forage. Revista Brasileira de Zootecnia. 2011;40(9): 1894-1901.
 15. Chattha MU, Iqbal A, Hassan MU, Chattha MB, Ishaque W, Usman M, Khan S, Fayyaz MT, Ullah MA. Forage yield and quality of sweet sorghum as influenced by sowing methods and harvesting times. Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences. 2017;13:301-306.
 16. Amodu JT, Akpensuen TT, Dung DD, Tanko RJ, Musa A, Abubakar SA, Hassan MR, Jegede J, Sani I. Evaluation of maize accessions for nutrients composition, forage and silage yields. Journal of Agricultural Science. 2014;6(4):178.
 17. Horst EH, Bumbieris Junior VH, Neumann M, López S. Effects of the harvest stage of maize hybrids on the chemical composition of plant fractions: An analysis of the different types of silage. Agriculture. 2021; 11(8):786.
 18. Irlbeck NA, Russell JR, Hallauer AR, Buxton DR. Nutritive value and ensiling characteristics of maize stover as influenced by hybrid maturity and generation, plant density and harvest date. Animal feed science and technology. 1993;41(1):51-64.
 19. Singh D, Chauhan A, Chaudhary A. Evaluation of maize cultivars for forage yield, silage quality traits and nutrient uptake in agro-climatic conditions of central Gujarat, India. Range Management and Agroforestry. 2020;41(1): 133-140.

© 2023 Sree et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/105824>